

MIRROR SYMMETRY FOR HONEYCOMBS

BENJAMIN GAMMAGE AND DAVID NADLER

ABSTRACT. We prove a homological mirror symmetry equivalence between the A -model of the pair of pants, in its guise as wrapped microlocal sheaves, and its mirror Landau-Ginzburg B -model, in its guise as matrix factorizations. The equivalence improves upon prior results in two ways: it intertwines evident affine Weyl group symmetries on both sides, and it exhibits the independence of wrapped microlocal sheaves along different Lagrangian skeleta arising naturally from tropical geometry. The equivalence proceeds through the construction of a combinatorial realization of the A -model via arboreal singularities. This can be viewed as a higher-dimensional analogue of topological approaches to Fukaya categories of surfaces.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Symplectic geometry	2
1.2. Combinatorics	4
1.3. Mirror symmetry	6
1.4. Questions and future directions	7
1.5. Acknowledgements	8
1.6. Notation and conventions	8
2. Combinatorial A -model	9
2.1. Permutohedron	9
2.2. Honeycomb	13
2.3. Cyclic structure sheaf	15
2.4. Quantization	17
2.5. The quantization categories	20
3. Mirror symmetry	26
3.1. Landau-Ginzburg B -model	26
3.2. Equivariant/Graded version	28
3.3. Main result	30
4. Symmetry breaking	32
4.1. Microlocal A -model	33
4.2. Equivalence with combinatorial A -model	35
4.3. Relation to pair of pants	37
References	38

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper fits into the framework of Homological Mirror Symmetry, as introduced in [Ko1] and expanded in [Ko2, HV, KKOY]. The formulation of interest to us relates the A -model of a hypersurface X in a toric variety to the mirror Landau-Ginzburg B -model of a toric variety $X^\vee$ equipped with superpotential $W^\vee \in \mathcal{O}(X^\vee)$. Following Mikhalkin [M], a distinguished “atomic” case is when the hypersurface is the pair of pants

$$\mathcal{P}_{n-1} = \{z_1 + \cdots + z_n + 1 = 0\} \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$$

with mirror Landau-Ginzburg model $(\mathbb{A}^{n+1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n+1})$. Just as Riemann surfaces can be glued together from one-dimensional pairs of pants, $(n-1)$ -dimensional hypersurfaces in toric varieties can be similarly glued together from copies of $\mathcal{P}_{n-1}$.

The current work expands upon prior mirror symmetry equivalences for pairs of pants found in [S, AAEKO, Sh]; however, it differs from those in its understanding of the A -model. The traditional mathematical realization of the A -model is the Fukaya category, with objects decorated Lagrangian submanifolds, morphisms their decorated intersections, and structure constants defined by integrals over moduli spaces of pseudoholomorphic polygons. There is increasing evidence (for example, [Ko3, Bo, FLTZ, NZ, N1, T]), and work in progress ([ENS, GPS1, GPS2]), that the Fukaya category of a Weinstein manifold is equivalent to microlocal sheaves (as developed by Kashiwara-Schapira [KS]) along a Lagrangian skeleton. In this paper, we follow [N6] and study the A -model of the pair of pants in its guise as wrapped microlocal sheaves.

The main result of this paper improves upon that of [N6] in two important ways. First, the equivalence of [N6] involves a choice which breaks the natural Σ_{n+1} -symmetry of the pair of pants down to a Σ_n -symmetry. The equivalence established here leaves the full symmetric group symmetry manifest. Furthermore, we work on the universal abelian cover of the pair of pants, and produce an equivalence equivariant for an affine Weyl group. Second, no attempt was made in [N6] to relate presentations of the A -model corresponding to different symmetry-breaking choices. Interpolating among these is important for various applications, in particular when gluing together more general toric hypersurfaces. The equivalence produced here exhibits such independence for a natural class of presentations arising from tropical geometry.

Going further, our calculations take a combinatorial form, extending the substantial literature devoted to Riemann surfaces (for example, [STZ, B, DK, HKK, PS]) to an important higher-dimensional example. By working with Lagrangian skeleta with arboreal singularities in the sense of [N4], we produce a combinatorial presentation of the A -model purely in terms of the topology of the skeleton. We expect that the description produced here provides a good template for calculating the A -model of more general toric hypersurfaces. We also explore how the combinatorial description of the A -model can be seen in the mirror matrix factorizations. We believe this offers insights into a more natural “symplectic description” of coherent sheaves.

1.1. Symplectic geometry.

1.1.1. *Cotangent bundles.* Fix a characteristic zero coefficient field k , and let $L \subset T^*X$ be a closed conic Lagrangian submanifold of a cotangent bundle. There are conic sheaves of dg

categories $\mu Sh_L^\diamond$ and μSh_L on T^*X , localized along L , which to a conic open set $\Omega \subset T^*X$ assign, respectively, the dg category $\mu Sh_L^\diamond(\Omega)$ of unbounded-rank microlocal sheaves and the dg category $\mu Sh_L(\Omega)$ of traditional microlocal sheaves along $L \cap \Omega$.

1.1.2. Definition. The category $\mu Sh_L^{wr}(\Omega)$ of *wrapped microlocal sheaves* along $L \cap \Omega$ is the category $\mu Sh_L^\diamond(\Omega)^c$ of compact objects inside of $\mu Sh_L^\diamond(\Omega)$.

1.1.3. Proposition ([N6] Proposition 3.16). *The assignment $\Omega \mapsto \mu Sh_L^{wr}(\Omega)$ forms a cosheaf μSh_L^{wr} of dg categories on T^*X , localized on L .*

If x is a smooth point of L and Ω is a contractible conic neighborhood of x , then the stalk of the sheaf $\mu Sh_L^\diamond$ is equivalent to the dg category Mod_k of (unbounded-rank) k -modules, while the stalk of μSh_L and the costalk of μSh_L^w at x are both equivalent to the dg category Perf_k of perfect k -modules.

If x is a singular point, the local calculation is more complicated, but this calculation has already been performed in [N4] for a certain class of Legendrian singularities termed arboreal. In this paper we will only be concerned with the A_n arboreal singularity $\mathcal{L}_{A_n}$, a certain singular Legendrian in the projectivized cotangent bundle $T^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which is homeomorphic to $\text{Cone}(\text{sk}_{n-2}\Delta^n)$, the cone on the $(n-2)$ -skeleton of an n -simplex.

1.1.4. Proposition ([N4]). *Let L be a conic Lagrangian in $T^*\mathbb{R}^n$ which is locally equivalent, near a point $x \in L$, to the cone on $\mathcal{L}_{A_n}$. Then to a neighborhood of x , the sheaf μSh_L and the cosheaf μSh_L^{wr} each assign the category $A_n\text{-Perf}_k$ of perfect modules over the A_n quiver.*

1.1.5. Weinstein manifolds. Let W be a Weinstein manifold. The Weinstein structure of W endows it with a Lagrangian skeleton Λ , onto which W deformation retracts.

Since our definition of wrapped microlocal sheaf categories applies only in the setting of cotangent bundles, in order to apply it here we have to relate the geometry of our Weinstein manifold to the geometry of a cotangent bundle. Let Λ be the skeleton of a Weinstein manifold W and U an open neighborhood of Λ which is conic for the flow of the Liouville vector field of W , and suppose that there exists a manifold X and a closed conic Lagrangian $L \subset T^*X$ such that U is symplectomorphic to a neighborhood Ω of L by a symplectomorphism taking Λ to L .

1.1.6. Definition. In the situation described above, the category $\mu Sh_L^w(\Omega)$ is the *wrapped microlocal A-model category* associated to the Weinstein manifold W . (To make explicit the dependence on Weinstein structure, we will sometimes call this category the wrapped microlocal A-model category of W associated to Λ .)

In practice, the symplectomorphism relating Λ to a conic lagrangian in a cotangent bundle might not exist. In order to ensure that we can describe the geometry of W by a cotangent bundle, it can be necessary first to pass to the *stabilization* $W^{stab} = W \times S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ of W , which is the Weinstein manifold obtained from W by applying the procedures of circular contactification and then symplectification. We will not distinguish between the A-model categories associated to W and W^{stab} , since for an appropriate global definition of microlocal sheaves they will be equivalent.

One skeleton for the (stabilized) pair of pants $\mathcal{P}_{n-1}^{stab}$ was described in [N6], where it was used to prove a mirror symmetry equivalence. After lifting that skeleton to a skeleton of the

$\mathbf{Z}^n$ cover $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{n-1}^{stab}$ of the pair of pants, in section 4 of this paper we deform that skeleton to a more symmetric skeleton, which we can describe using the geometry of the permutohedron.

Let V_n be the quotient of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ by the span of the vector $\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{n+1}$, where $\{\lambda_i\}$ is the standard coordinate basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

1.1.7. Definition. The n -permutohedron $\mathfrak{P}_n \subset V_n$ is the convex polytope obtained as the convex hull

$$\mathfrak{P}_n = \text{conv}\left\{\sigma \cdot \left(\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{a=1}^{n+1} a\lambda_a\right) \in V_n \mid \sigma \in \Sigma_{n+1}\right\}.$$

The n -permutohedron is an n -dimensional polytope, and it is a remarkable fact that the permutohedron actually tiles V_n . We denote by $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ the union of all translates of the boundary $\partial\mathfrak{P}_n$ along this tiling and call this space the *honeycomb*. (When $n = 2$, the honeycomb $\mathfrak{H}_1$ is actually the boundary of the hexagon tiling of the plane.) Then the main result of section 4 of this paper is a stronger version of the following:

1.1.8. Theorem (Theorem 4.3.4 below). *The Weinstein structure of $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{n-1}^{stab}$ described in [N6] is homotopic to a Weinstein structure whose associated skeleton $\mathcal{L}'_n$ is homeomorphic to $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$.*

This result and the discussion above justifies our modeling of the wrapped Fukaya category of $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{n-1}$ as the global sections of a certain cosheaf $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{wr}$ of dg categories on $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ (and the infinitesimal Fukaya category as the global sections of a certain sheaf $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf}$ of dg categories on $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$).

1.2. Combinatorics. As mentioned above, the sheaf and cosheaf $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{wr}$ assign Perf_k to a smooth point of $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$, but to know their descriptions over the whole skeleton $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$, we need to understand its singularities. These turn out to be singularities we already understand:

1.2.1. Proposition (Proposition 2.2.4 below). *A neighborhood of a point in a codimension- m face of a permutohedron in $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ is homeomorphic to the product of $\mathbb{R}^{n-m}$ with the A_m arboreal singularity $\mathcal{L}_{A_m}$.*

(In fact, to prove the equivalence of sheaves of categories we need, homeomorphism isn't sufficient; it's necessary to check the stronger statement that a neighborhood of the corresponding point in the skeleton $\mathcal{L}'_n$ is contactomorphic, up to a smoothing, to the product $\mathbb{R}^{n-m} \times \mathcal{L}_{A_m}$, or that it admits the same category of microlocal sheaves. This is part of the proof of Proposition 4.2.2 below.)

The upshot is that all the singularities of the skeleton $\mathcal{L}'_n$ are of type A_m (for various m) which proves to be extremely convenient for calculation of the Fukaya category. As the name suggests, the sections of $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf}$ or $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{wr}$ on a neighborhood of the A_m singularity $\mathcal{L}_{A_m}$ are equivalent to the dg category $A_m\text{-Perf}_k$ of perfect modules over the A_m quiver.

1.2.2. Remark. The equivalence of the category associated to an A_m singularity with the category $A_m\text{-Perf}_k$ is noncanonical, reflecting the fact that the category $A_m\text{-Perf}_k$ has a $\mathbf{Z}/(m+1)$ symmetry. Moreover, due to the standard appearance of the “metaplectic anomaly” in the construction of Fukaya categories, we cannot keep global track of the integer

grading on this category without making additional choices, so in practice this local category is actually only equivalent (noncanonically) to the $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -dg category $(A_m\text{-Perf}_k)_{\mathbf{Z}/2}$.

We can summarize the above discussion as describing the following procedure: Stratify the space $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ by singularity type, and let $P(\mathfrak{H}_{n-1})$ be the poset corresponding to the stratification. The Fukaya category associated to the skeleton $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ is the global sections of a sheaf/cosheaf, taking values in the $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -dg category $\mathbb{Z}/2\text{-dgst}_k$ of $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -dg categories, which assigns to a neighborhood of a point in a codimension m stratum of $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ a category equivalent to $(A_m\text{-Perf}_k)_{\mathbf{Z}/2}$.

1.2.3. Definition. The *wrapped* and *infinitesimally wrapped combinatorial Fukaya categories* associated to the pair of pants are the categories

$$Q_{n-1}^{wr} = \text{colim}(P(\mathfrak{H}_{n-1})^{op} \xrightarrow{Q_{n-1}^{wr}} \mathbb{Z}/2\text{-dgst}_k) \quad Q_{n-1}^{inf} = \text{lim}(P(\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}) \xrightarrow{Q_{n-1}^{inf}} \mathbb{Z}/2\text{-dgst}_k)$$

defined as global sections of the cosheaf Q_{n-1}^{wr} and sheaf Q_{n-1}^{inf} , respectively, over the honeycomb $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$.

Objects in the infinitesimally wrapped category, which is defined as global sections of the sheaf Q_{n-1}^{inf} , have a clearer geometric meaning: heuristically, an object of this category can be described as the data of an object of $(\text{Perf}_k)_{\mathbf{Z}/2}$ at each facet in $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$, exact triangles among these at codimension 2 faces, and higher compatibility conditions given by codimension k faces. (For instance, the compatibility condition at a codimension 3 face is that the four exact triangles around the face assemble into an “octahedral axiom diagram.”)

For F a facet in $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ and ξ a choice of codirection at F (breaking the $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ indeterminacy in the category associated to F), we have a *stalk functor* $\phi_{F,\xi} : Q_{n-1}^{inf} \rightarrow (\text{Perf}_k)_{\mathbf{Z}/2}$ taking an object of Q_{n-1}^{inf} to the object of $(\text{Perf}_k)_{\mathbf{Z}/2}$ which is placed at the facet F . If we understand the data of an object in Q_{n-1}^{inf} as recording a path of a Lagrangian running along the honeycomb $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$, then the stalk of an object along a facet F records how many times the Lagrangian runs along F .

The following class of objects in Q_{n-1}^{inf} is easy to describe and are very useful in proving mirror symmetry for this category:

1.2.4. Definition. Let B be a connected subset of $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$. A *rank-one brane along B* is an object $\mathcal{F}$ in Q_{n-1}^{inf} such that the stalk $\phi_{F,\xi}(\mathcal{F})$ has rank-one cohomology for all facets F in B , and all other stalks are zero.

The wrapped category Q_{n-1}^{wr} , which is defined as a colimit, is a little harder to understand directly but admits a very nice set of generators. Note that the stalk functor $\phi_{F,\xi} : Q_{n-1}^{inf} \rightarrow (\text{Perf}_k)_{\mathbf{Z}/2}$, when extended to the cocomplete category $Q_{n-1}^\diamond$, is corepresented by an object $\delta_{F,\xi}$, which we call the *skyscraper* along the facet F .

1.2.5. Lemma. *The category Q_{n-1}^{wr} is generated by the set of skyscrapers along facets in $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$.*

The skyscrapers, though defined abstractly, in practice have a simple description. Let P be a permutohedron in V_n , and let F_i be the face shared by P and $P + \lambda_i$. Let B be




FIGURE 1. Part of the honeycomb $\mathfrak{H}_2$, with the support (indicated in bold) of a rank-one object in Q_2^{inf} .

the boundary of the region obtained as the union of all positive translates of P in the directions $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \hat{\lambda}_i, \dots, \lambda_{n+1}\}$.

1.2.6. Proposition (Proposition 2.5.13 below). *The rank-one brane along B is the skyscraper along F .*

1.3. Mirror symmetry. The mirror to the pair of pants is the Landau-Ginzburg model $(\mathbb{A}^{n+1}, W_{n+1} = z_1 \cdots z_{n+1})$. Let T^{n+1} be the n -torus $(\mathbb{G}_m)^{n+1}$ and $\mathbb{T}^n$ the kernel of the map $W_{n+1} : T^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$. Considering the skeleton $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ for the universal abelian cover of the pair of pants instead of the skeleton $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}/\Lambda_n$ for the pair of pants itself corresponds on the mirror to working equivariantly with respect to the torus $\mathbb{T}^n$ (whose weight lattice is the lattice Λ_n). Thus, the expectation of homological mirror symmetry is that the wrapped Fukaya category Q_{n-1}^{wr} ought to be equivalent to the torus-equivariant derived category of singularities

$$\begin{aligned} D_{sing}^b(\mathbb{A}^{n+1}, W_{n+1})^{\mathbb{T}^n} &= (\mathrm{Coh}(W_{n+1}^{-1}(0))/\mathrm{Perf}(W_{n+1}^{-1}(0)))^{\mathbb{T}^n} \\ &= \mathrm{Coh}(W_{n+1}^{-1}(0))^{\mathbb{T}^n}/\mathrm{Perf}(W_{n+1}^{-1}(0))^{\mathbb{T}^n}, \end{aligned}$$

since passing to the quotient commutes in this case with taking $\mathbb{T}^n$ equivariants.

There are a couple of ways to understand this category, coming from theorems of Orlov. A presentation of D_{sing}^b which keeps manifest the Σ_{n+1} symmetry induced from permutations of the coordinates of $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ is as the category $\mathrm{MF}(\mathbb{A}^{n+1}, W_{n+1})$ of *matrix factorizations* of W_{n+1} : the objects of this category are pairs (V, d) , for V a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded $k[z_1, \dots, z_{n+1}]$ -module and $d: V \rightarrow V$ an odd endomorphism such that d^2 is multiplication by $W_{n+1} = z_1 \cdots z_n z_{n+1}$.

A less symmetric presentation is given as follows: for a choice of $a \in [n+1]$, let $W_{n+1}^a = W_{n+1}/z_a$, and set $X^a = (W_{n+1}^a)^{-1}(0)$. Then we have an equivalence of categories

$$D_{sing}^b(\mathbb{A}^{n+1}, W_{n+1}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b(X^a) .$$

This latter presentation is used in [N6] to establish a mirror symmetry equivalence. It has the advantage of being built out of a simple inductive definition, but the disadvantage that it breaks the natural Σ_{n+1} symmetry of $D_{sing}^b(\mathbb{A}^{n+1}, W_{n+1})$. In this paper, we use the description as matrix factorizations, which allows us to write a mirror symmetry equivalence which is compatible with more symmetries. Note that the category $D_{sing}^b(\mathbb{A}^{n+1}, W_{n+1})^{\mathbb{T}^n}$ has a natural action by the group $\widetilde{W}_n = \Lambda_n \rtimes \Sigma_{n+1}$, where Σ_{n+1} acts by permuting the coordinates and the weight lattice Λ_n of $\mathbb{T}^n$ acts as twists by characters, and this action is manifest in the matrix factorization description. The group $\widetilde{W}_n$ also acts on $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ in the obvious way, and hence also on Q_{n-1}^{wr} . The main theorem of this paper is the expected mirror symmetry equivalence between the A -model of (the universal abelian cover of) the pair of pants and the (torus-equivariant) B -model of $(\mathbb{A}^{n+1}, W_{n+1})$:

1.3.1. Theorem (Theorem 3.3.2 below). *There is an equivalence of categories*

$$\Phi : \mathrm{MF}(\mathbb{A}^{n+1}, W_{n+1})^{\mathbb{T}^n} \xrightarrow{\sim} Q_{n-1}^{wr}$$

which is equivariant for the action of $\widetilde{W}_n$ on each side.

This equivalence sends the natural generators of the derived category of singularities, the structure sheaves $\mathcal{O}_n^i$ of the coordinate hyperplanes $\{z_i = 0\}$, to the skyscrapers δ_{F_i} along certain distinguished facets of a standard permutohedron $\mathfrak{P}_0$ inside $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$. (Specifically, F_i is the facet separating $\mathfrak{P}_0$ from $\mathfrak{P}_0 + \lambda_i$.) The skyscrapers along other facets correspond to certain complexes formed out of the sheaves $\mathcal{O}_n^i$.

The relation between the above correspondence and the combinatorics of the permutohedron can be seen more explicitly in the matrix factorization category: for a nonempty proper subset $I \subset [n+1]$, write $z_I = \prod_{i \in I} z_i$ and $z_{I^c} = \prod_{i \notin I} z_i$, and similarly set $\lambda_I = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i$. Write $\underline{\mathcal{O}}_n^i$ for the image of $\mathcal{O}_n^i$ in the category $\mathrm{MF}(\mathbb{A}^{n+1}, W_{n+1})$. Then under the mirror symmetry equivalence Φ , the skyscraper sheaf along the facet separating $\mathfrak{P}_0$ and $\mathfrak{P}_0 + \lambda_i$ corresponds to the matrix factorization

$$\underline{\mathcal{O}}_n^i = \left(k[z_1, \dots, z_{n+1}] \xrightarrow{z_{\{i\}^c}} k[z_1, \dots, z_{n+1}] \xrightarrow{z_{\{i\}}} k[z_1, \dots, z_{n+1}] \right) ,$$

while more generally, a skyscraper along the facet separating $\mathfrak{P}_0$ and $\mathfrak{P}_0 + \lambda_I$ corresponds to the matrix factorization

$$k[z_1, \dots, z_{n+1}] \xrightarrow{z_{I^c}} k[z_1, \dots, z_{n+1}] \xrightarrow{z_I} k[z_1, \dots, z_{n+1}] .$$

1.4. Questions and future directions. Here we mention a few future directions following up the work in this paper, and some remaining questions.

1.4.1. *Mirror symmetry for toric hypersurfaces.* The main importance of the n -dimensional pair of pants $\mathcal{P}_n$ comes from the results of [M], which exhibits a decomposition for toric hypersurfaces into pairs of pants. Hence a statement of mirror symmetry for toric hypersurfaces can be understood by gluing together statements of mirror symmetry for individual pieces in a pants decomposition. In the case of surfaces this has already been done in [PS]. The combinatorial description of the Fukaya category of the pair of pants described in this paper will be useful for future work involving gluing higher-dimensional pairs of pants together to produce general toric hypersurfaces.

1.4.2. *Higher-dimensional dimer models and combinatorial Fukaya categories.* The mirror symmetry equivalence between an A -model associated to a space X and the B -model associated to its Landau-Ginzburg mirror $(X^\vee, W)$ can be understood as mediated through the appearance of equivalent non-commutative geometries on either side. One combinatorial way of describing this shared noncommutative geometry in the case where X is a punctured surface is through the theory of dimer models ([B]).

In the case $n = 2$ of the 1-dimensional pair of pants, the procedure we describe here reproduces (as it must) the structures found in combinatorial approaches to mirror symmetry for punctured surfaces. Thus, the constructions of this paper for $n > 2$ hint at a generalization of the theory of dimer models to higher dimensions. Such a generalization would produce appealing combinatorial presentations both of Fukaya categories of higher-dimensional toric hypersurfaces and of certain matrix factorization categories.

Such combinatorial descriptions would also generalize the theory of topological Fukaya categories of surfaces, as described for instance in [DK, HKK, PS]. These combinatorial models have been of great interest for allowing computation of algebraic and categorical aspects of the Fukaya category. Higher-dimensional combinatorial models of the sort described in this paper will present the same benefits along with many new and interesting algebraic structures.

1.4.3. *Skeleta for matrix factorization categories.* The main equivalence of this paper, which presents the category $\mathrm{MF}(\mathbb{A}^{n+1}, W_{n+1})^{\mathbb{T}^n}$ of equivariant matrix factorizations as a colimit of a certain sheaf of categories along a skeleton $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$, is one step in a larger project of producing a combinatorial “symplectic description” of B -model categories. (See for instance the combinatorial description of coherent sheaf categories given in [Bo, FLTZ].) Though it is not yet clear what this should entail in general, one proximate goal is a procedure for producing such a skeleton directly from a matrix factorization category. The equivalence of this paper gives a way of relating the faces in the skeleton $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ to various subcategories of $\mathrm{MF}(\mathbb{A}^{n+1}, W_{n+1})$, but the geometric significance of these relations is still somewhat mysterious.

1.5. **Acknowledgements.** We would like to thank Yasha Eliashberg, Grisha Mikhalkin, Vivek Shende, Laura Starkston, Alex Takeda, and Ilia Zharkov for helpful conversations. BG is grateful to the NSF for the support of a Graduate Research Fellowship, and DN for the support of grant DMS-1502178.

1.6. **Notation and conventions.** We fix an algebraically closed coefficient field k of characteristic zero. Throughout this paper, we work with pretriangulated (equivalently, stable)

differential $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -graded (equivalently, 2-periodic dg) categories. Appropriate homotopical contexts for pretriangulated dg categories have been described in [To] (with an adaptation of this theory to the $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -graded case available for instance in [D], Section 5.1) and [L1, L2] (in the guise of Hk -linear stable $(\infty, 1)$ -categories). We will denote by $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -dgst $_k$ the category of k -linear pretriangulated $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -dg categories, in one of the equivalent homotopical contexts just mentioned.

When discussing polyhedral cell complexes in this paper, the word “face” will mean a general face (of any dimension), while “facet” will always refer to codimension 1 faces only. Facets of the permutohedron $\mathfrak{P}_n$ are all of the form $\mathfrak{P}_k \times \mathfrak{P}_{n-k}$ (where $\mathfrak{P}_1 = \{pt\}$, and we will refer to facets of the form $\mathfrak{P}_{n-1} \times \mathfrak{P}_1 = \mathfrak{P}_{n-1}$ as *maximal facets* of $\mathfrak{P}_n$).

In the table below we collect for the reader’s convenience some of the nonstandard or frequently used notations used in this paper, in order of appearance.

T^{n+1}	$(n+1)$ -dimensional complex torus
W_{n+1}	The map $T^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ given by $(z_1, \dots, z_{n+1}) \mapsto \prod z_i$
$\mathbb{T}^n$	$\text{Ker}(W_{n+1})$
$\mathfrak{P}_n$	n -permutohedron
F_I	Face of $\mathfrak{P}_n$ corresponding to $I \subset [n+1]$
Λ_n	Weight lattice of the torus $\mathbb{T}^n$
$\widetilde{W}_n$	Affine Weyl group $\Lambda_n \rtimes \Sigma_{n+1}$
Q_{n-1}^{inf}	Infinitesimally wrapped combinatorial A -model category
Q_{n-1}^{wr}	Partially wrapped combinatorial A -model category
$\delta_{F,\xi}$	Skyscraper along facet F in normal direction ξ
$B_{P,J}$	Rank-one brane along $\partial\{P + \sum_{j \in J} n_j \lambda_j \mid n_j \in \mathbb{N}\}$
$\mathcal{O}_n^i$	Structure sheaf of $\{z_i = 0\}$ in $\text{Coh}(\text{Speck}[z_1, \dots, z_{n+1}]/(z_1 \dots z_{n+1}))$
$\underline{\mathcal{O}}_n^i$	Image of $\mathcal{O}_n^i$ under the quotient $\text{Coh} \rightarrow \text{Coh}/\text{Perf}$
$\mathcal{P}_{n-1}$	$(n-1)$ -dimensional pair of pants

2. COMBINATORIAL A -MODEL

2.1. Permutohedron. Let $T^{n+1} = (\mathbb{G}_m)^{n+1}$ be the $(n+1)$ -dimensional torus, and $W_{n+1} : T^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ the character $W_{n+1}(z_1, \dots, z_{n+1}) = z_1 \dots z_{n+1}$. We will denote by $\mathbb{T}^n$ the kernel of W_{n+1} , so that we have a short exact sequence of tori

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}^n \hookrightarrow T^{n+1} \xrightarrow{W_{n+1}} \mathbb{G}_m \longrightarrow 1.$$

Let $\chi^*(T^{n+1}) = \text{Hom}(T^{n+1}, \mathbb{G}_m) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ denote the weight lattice of T^{n+1} , and let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n+1}$ denote its standard coordinate basis. The above short exact sequence of tori induces a short exact sequence of weight lattices, giving the presentation

$$\chi^*(\mathbb{T}^n) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} / \mathbb{Z} \langle \sum_{a=1}^{n+1} \lambda_a \rangle$$

Throughout this paper, we will set

$$\Lambda_n := \chi^*(\mathbb{T}^n); \quad V_n := \Lambda_n \otimes \mathbb{R}.$$

We will abuse notation and write $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n+1}$ also for their images in Λ_n and V_n .

The symmetric group Σ_{n+1} naturally acts on $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ by permutations, and the action descends to the quotient Λ_n and further to V_n .

2.1.1. Definition. The n -permutohedron $\mathfrak{P}_n \subset V_n$ is the convex hull

$$\mathfrak{P}_n = \text{conv}\{\sigma \cdot \left(\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{a=1}^{n+1} a\lambda_a\right) \in V_n \mid \sigma \in \Sigma_{n+1}\}.$$

of the Σ_{n+1} -orbit of the point $\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{a=1}^{n+1} a\lambda_a \in V_n$.

2.1.2. Remark. The above definition of the permutohedron presents it as a convex polytope in the n -dimensional quotient space V_n of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. This disagrees with the more typical definition as the convex polytope

$$\mathfrak{P}'_n = \text{conv}\{\sigma_{n+1} \cdot (1, \dots, n+1) \in (\mathbb{R}^{n+1})^* \mid \sigma \in \Sigma_{n+1}\}$$

in the n -dimensional affine subspace

$$\{(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in (\mathbb{R}^{n+1})^* \mid \sum_{a=1}^{n+1} x_a = n(n+1)/2\}$$

We have chosen our convention with mirror symmetry in mind; in particular, we prefer a permutohedron which is translated in a natural way by the weight lattice $\Lambda_n = \chi^*(\mathbb{T}^n)$ rather than the coweight lattice of $\mathbb{T}^n$.

In all respects, our definition agrees with the usual one, up to a duality: after translating $\mathfrak{P}'_n$ by $(\frac{-n}{2}, \dots, \frac{-n}{2})$, the identification of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with its dual space coming from the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ sends $\mathfrak{P}'_n$ to $\mathfrak{P}_n$.

2.1.3. Example. The 1-permutohedron $\mathfrak{P}_1$ is a line segment; the 2-permutohedron $\mathfrak{P}_2$ is a hexagon; the 3-permutohedron $\mathfrak{P}_3$ is a truncated octahedron, with faces consisting of 8 hexagons and 6 squares. For $n \geq 3$, the permutohedron $\mathfrak{P}_n$ is not a regular polyhedron.




FIGURE 2. The 3-permutohedron $\mathfrak{P}_3$ and three generators of Λ_3

By construction, the symmetric group Σ_{n+1} acts transitively on the vertices of the permutohedron. To organize the combinatorics of this action, we will find it useful to record here some alternate descriptions and helpful facts about the permutohedron.

2.1.4. *Cayley graph description.* We first cite from [GG] a description of the permutohedron as a Cayley graph of Σ_{n+1} . Recall that the *inversions* in the symmetric group Σ_{n+1} are the transpositions of the form $(i \ i+1)$ for some $1 \leq i < n+1$.

2.1.5. **Lemma** ([GG, Theorem 1]). *The 1-skeleton of the permutohedron $\mathfrak{P}_n$ is the Cayley graph of Σ_{n+1} on the generators the inversions in Σ_{n+1} .*

This description depends in particular on the choice of a vertex of the permutohedron to correspond to the identity of Σ_{n+1} . Since Σ_{n+1} acts transitively on the vertices of the permutohedron, a description of the permutohedron near any vertex is sufficient to understand its global structure.

2.1.6. **Remark.** The identification of the vertices of the permutohedron with Σ_{n+1} provided by the above fact does not agree with the obvious identification provided by the definition of the permutohedron. If $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$ is a sequence of inversions in Σ_{n+1} , then the vertex $(\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_r) \cdot (\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{a=1}^{n+1} a\lambda_a)$ naturally corresponds to the element $\sigma_r \cdots \sigma_1$ of Σ_{n+1} , where the inversions appear in *reverse* order.

From the Cayley graph perspective, we see that k -faces incident to a given vertex of $\mathfrak{P}_n$ correspond precisely to those subgroups of Σ_{n+1} generated by k inversions. If $I \subset \{(1 \ 2), (2 \ 3), \dots, (n \ n+1)\}$ is some subset of inversions and we decompose I into its “connected components” (maximal subsets of adjacent inversions) $I = \coprod_i I_i$, where I_i has n_i elements, then the $(\sum_i n_i)$ -dimensional face of $\mathfrak{P}_n$ corresponding to I is of the form $\prod_i \mathfrak{P}_{n_i}$. In other words, we have proved:

2.1.7. **Corollary.** *The faces (in every dimension) of the permutohedron $\mathfrak{P}_n$ are products of lower-dimensional permutohedra.*

We can analyze the description above in more detail to attain information about k -faces of $\mathfrak{P}_n$ for all k ; in particular we will be interested in the facets. A facet incident on the vertex (1) is determined by a choice of $n-1$ inversions, and hence the set of such facets is $\{\mathfrak{P}_{n-k} \times \mathfrak{P}_k\}_{k=1, \dots, n-1}$. The polyhedron $\mathfrak{P}_{n-k} \times \mathfrak{P}_k$ has $k!(n-k)!$ vertices, so the total number of such facets in $\mathfrak{P}_n$ is $\frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} = \binom{n}{k}$. Adding all of these up, we find that the total number of facets is $\sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} = 2^n - 2$.

Thus the facets of $\mathfrak{P}_n$ are in bijection with proper, nonempty subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. In order to transfer the above analysis to the coordinate description of $\mathfrak{P}_n$, it will be helpful to write this bijection in an explicit way.

2.1.8. **Lemma.** *Let $S \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ be a proper, nonempty subset. Define a subset F_S of the vertices of $\mathfrak{P}_n$ by declaring that the vertex $\sigma \cdot (\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{a=1}^{n+1} a\lambda_a)$ is in F_S if and only if $\sigma(i) < \sigma(j)$ for all pairs $(i \in S, j \notin S)$. Then the map $S \mapsto F_S$ is a bijection between proper nonempty subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and facets of $\mathfrak{P}_n$.*

Proof. Start by analyzing the facets incident on the vertex $v = \sum_{a=1}^{n+1} a\lambda_a$, which we can treat as the vertex (1) in the Cayley graph. We already have an explicit description for these facets: they correspond to $(n-1)$ -element subsets $R \subset \{(1 \ 2), \dots, (n \ n+1)\}$. We claim that the facet corresponding to the subset R which is missing $(i \ i+1)$ has vertex

set F_S , for $S = \{1, \dots, i\}$. Indeed, the facet corresponding to R is the orbit of v under $\Sigma_{i-1} \times \Sigma_{n-i} \subset \Sigma_{n+1}$, which is precisely F_S .

To extend this result to all the facets of the permutohedron, we note that the action of Σ_{n+1} on $\{1, \dots, n+1\}$ induces an action on the set of all proper, nonempty subsets of this set. Similarly, the action of Σ_{n+1} on the set of vertices of $\mathfrak{P}_n$ induces an action on the set of facets, and the correspondence $S \mapsto F_S$ is equivariant for these actions (since ultimately both are induced in the same way from the permutation representation of Σ_{n+1}). Thus, the check we performed at a single vertex is sufficient to prove that $S \mapsto F_S$ is a bijection on the set of all facets of $\mathfrak{P}_n$. $\square$

2.1.9. Definition. The facets of $\mathfrak{P}_n$ which are of the form $\mathfrak{P}_{n-1}$ will be called the *maximal* facets of the permutohedron $\mathfrak{P}_n$. Under the above bijection, they correspond to subsets $I \subset \{1, \dots, n+1\}$ which have either 1 or n elements.

2.1.10. Minkowski sum description. The other useful description which $\mathfrak{P}_n$ admits is as a Minkowski sum of line segments. Recall that the *Minkowski sum* of two subsets A and B of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is

$$A + B := \{a + b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

Let $e_1, \dots, e_{n+1}$ be the standard basis vectors of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Then we have the following description of $\mathfrak{P}_n$:

2.1.11. Lemma. *The n -permutohedron $\mathfrak{P}_n$ can be represented as the Minkowski sum*

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} \left[\frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}{2(n+1)}, \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_i}{2(n+1)} \right],$$

where $[a, b]$ denotes the set $\{ta + (1-t)b \mid 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$, for $a, b \in V_n$.

Proof. By construction, the set of vertices of the permutohedron is equal to the Minkowski sum

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} \left\{ \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}{2(n+1)}, \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_i}{2(n+1)} \right\}$$

of two-element sets, and it is a general fact that the convex hull $\text{conv}(A+B)$ of a Minkowski sum $A+B$ is equal to the Minkowski sum $\text{conv}(A) + \text{conv}(B)$. $\square$

A Minkowski sum of line segments is also known as a *zonotope*. As a cube is also a Minkowski sum of line segments, a zonotope can also be understood as the projection of a cube under an affine transformation. Hence, for instance, $\mathfrak{P}_n$ is an affine projection of the $\binom{n+1}{2}$ -dimensional cube. Zonotopes have many nice properties, and the zonotopal perspective is often helpful for inductively describing the geometry of $\mathfrak{P}_n$; many of the combinatorial arguments which we made above could have proceeded in the language of zonotopes.

2.1.12. Voronoi cell description. In fact, $\mathfrak{P}_n$ is a special kind of zonotope. Recall that every rank n lattice $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ has an associated *Voronoi tiling*, a tiling of $\mathbb{R}^n$ symmetric under translation by Λ : for every lattice point $x \in \Lambda$, there is a Voronoi cell R_x centered at x , where we define

$$R_x := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |y - x| < |y - x'| \text{ for all } x' \in \Lambda, x' \neq x\}.$$

The vector space V_n is a quotient of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and hence a subspace of its dual $(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})^*$, from which it inherits the standard metric (coming from the dual basis to $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n+1}$). With respect to this metric, permutohedra are Voronoi cells:

2.1.13. Lemma ([CS]). *The n -permutohedron $\mathfrak{P}_n$ is a Voronoi cell for the rank n lattice $\Lambda_n \subset V_n$.*

Let $\widetilde{W}_n$ denote the semidirect product $\Lambda_n \rtimes \Sigma_{n+1}$. Then we have the following corollary:

2.1.14. Corollary. *Λ_n -translates of $\mathfrak{P}_n$ provide a tessellation of V_n preserved by the natural $\widetilde{W}_n$ -action.*

This means in particular that for every facet F of $\mathfrak{P}_n$, there is a vector $v \in \Lambda_n$ such that $\frac{1}{2}v$ is the center of F . Recall that earlier we exhibited a bijection between facets of $\mathfrak{P}_n$ and nonempty proper subsets $I \subset \{1, \dots, n+1\}$. Now we see another way to understand this bijection: let $\lambda_I = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i$. Then Λ_n is the $\mathbb{N}$ -span of $\{\lambda_I\}_{\emptyset \subsetneq I \subsetneq [n+1]}$. The Voronoi cells adjacent to the cell at the origin are those cells which are centered at points of the form λ_I . This gives a correspondence between facets of $\mathfrak{P}_n$ and nonempty proper subsets I of $[n+1]$, associating to I the facet F_I through which λ_I points. Moreover, if we write I^c for the complement of I in $[n+1]$ (also nonempty and proper because I is), then $\lambda_{I^c} = -\lambda_I$, so F_{I^c} is the face opposite to F_I .

2.2. Honeycomb. We are now ready to introduce the main object of study in this paper.

2.2.1. Definition. Let $\partial\mathfrak{P}_n \subset V_n$ denote the boundary of the n -permutohedron.

The $(n-1)$ -honeycomb $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1} \subset V_n$ is the piece-wise linear hypersurface given by the union of translates

$$\mathfrak{H}_{n-1} = \partial\mathfrak{P}_n + \Lambda_n$$

We will describe the singularities of $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ in the language of [N4], which introduced a special class of Legendrian singularities, called *arboreal singularities*; these are conjecturally the deformation-stable Legendrian singularities, and the category of microlocal sheaves along an arboreal singularity admits a simple description. In [N5], it was shown that any Legendrian singularity admits a deformation to an arboreal singularity which preserves the category of microlocal sheaves along the singularity. Thus the study of microlocal sheaves along any Lagrangian in a symplectic manifold is reduced to a two-step process: first, deform the Lagrangian so that each singularity is the cone on some arboreal singularity, then glue the corresponding categories together.

In the case of the honeycomb (when, in section 4, we describe its appearance as a Weinstein skeleton), the first step is unnecessary, since, as we will see, all the singularities of the honeycomb are already arboreal, up to some smoothing. In fact, in the language of [N4], all its singularities are arboreal singularities of type A_k for some k ; this arboreal singularity is homeomorphic to the cone on the $(n-2)$ skeleton of the n -simplex Δ^n . This structure makes it possible to describe the symplectic geometry of the honeycomb by means of the combinatorial constructions in this section.

Below we describe the singularities of the honeycomb $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ and show that they are all homeomorphic to A_n arboreal singularities; later, when we present the honeycomb as the Lagrangian cone on a Legendrian in a cosphere bundle, we will see that its singularities

are not just homeomorphic but actually contactomorphic (after a smoothing) to the A_n arboreal singularities, which will justify the categorical constructions we pursue later in this section.

Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{k+1}$ be the standard coordinate basis of $\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$. Let $\Delta^k = \text{conv}\{e_i - e_j \mid i > j\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ be the k -simplex, and let $sk_{k-2}\Delta^k \subset \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ denote its $(k-2)$ -skeleton. For $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$, we denote by $\text{Cone}(A)$ the cone $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cdot A \subset \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ given by scalings of A .

2.2.2. Definition. For $k > 0$, the topological A_k -hypersurface singularity is given by

$$L_{A_k} = \text{Cone}(sk_{k-2}\Delta^k) \subset \mathbb{R}^{k+1}.$$

For $k = 0$, we set $L_{A_k} = \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}$.

This will be our topological model for the Legendrian arboreal singularity $\mathcal{L}_{A_n}$; we will return to the symplectic geometry of this singularity in the proof of Proposition 4.2.2. Since so far $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ is only a topological space, we will describe its singularities for now in terms of the topological singularity L_{A_k} .

2.2.3. Definition. Define the stratified space $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ to be the space $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ equipped with the stratification by relatively open faces. In other words, the strata of $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ are indexed by faces F , and the stratum S_F corresponding to the face F is just the face F , not including any lower-dimensional faces incident on F .

Now we can describe precisely the singularities of the honeycomb:

2.2.4. Proposition. *If $p \in \mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ is a point in an $(n-k)$ -dimensional stratum of the honeycomb, then a neighborhood of p in $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ is homeomorphic to $L_{A_k} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$.*

Proof. Suppose first that p is in the 0-dimensional stratum of $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$, i.e., p is a vertex in the honeycomb. In this case the proposition claims that in a small neighborhood B of p , the complement $B^\circ := B \setminus \{p\}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times sk_{n-2}\Delta^n$. As a simplicial complex, $sk_{n-2}\Delta^n$ is determined by its face poset, which is the poset of nonempty subsets of $[n] := \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ containing at most $n-1$ elements.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ such that the radius ϵ sphere centered at p is contained in B , and let B_{link} be the intersection of B° with S_ϵ . Then positive dilation gives a homeomorphism $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times B_{\text{link}} \cong B^\circ$. Hence we need to prove that B_{link} admits the structure of a regular cell complex with face poset isomorphic to the poset of nonempty subsets of $[n]$ containing at most $n-1$ elements.

We claim first that in the honeycomb, the vertex p is incident on $n+1$ edges. To see this, we recall the Cayley graph description of $\mathfrak{P}_n$: A vertex v in $\mathfrak{P}_n$ is incident on n edges, and the n Λ_n -translates of $\mathfrak{P}_n$ which contain v correspond to the n facets in $\mathfrak{P}_n$ containing v , which correspond in turn to the n choices of $n-1$ edges in $\mathfrak{P}_n$ which contain v . A copy of $\mathfrak{P}_n$ which contains v is determined by n edges containing v , so each translate incident on v contains exactly one new edge which contains v . In fact, this edge is the same for all translates: otherwise, translations would produce at least two new edges containing v , but no translate could contain both of these, contradicting the fact that translates of $\mathfrak{P}_n$ tile space.

Now by symmetry we can conclude that for $1 \leq k \leq n$, any choice of k edges containing p determines a k -face containing p in some translate of $\partial\mathfrak{P}_n$, and these are all the faces

containing p . In other words, there is a bijection

$$\{k\text{-faces in } \mathfrak{H}_{n-1} \text{ containing } v\} \cong \{k\text{-element subsets of } [n]\},$$

and incidence relations among these are given by the natural poset structure on the set of subsets of $[n]$.

Thus we have established the proposition in the case where p is in a 0-dimensional stratum. We can derive the case where p is in a k -dimensional stratum by starting with p' a vertex contained in a small neighborhood B' , and then restricting B' to a ball B which does not contain any strata of dimension less than k . In the analysis above, this corresponds to restricting to a subposet of the set of subsets of $[n]$, which we can identify as the face poset of $sk_{n-k-2}\Delta^{n-k}$. $\square$

The proof above actually establishes more than an abstract description of the singularities of the honeycomb $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$: it also explains the inductive way in which they are embedded in one another. Note that the A_k singularity $L_{A_k} = \text{Cone}(sk_{k-2}\Delta^k)$ contains $k+1$ copies of $\mathbb{R} \times L_{A_{k-1}}$, each embedded as the cone on a vertex of the k -simplex Δ^k . Since the description we gave above respects all of these identifications, we can elaborate on the above proposition:

2.2.5. Corollary. *For $0 \leq k \leq n-2$, let α be a k -face in $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ incident on a $(k+1)$ -face β . Then the singularity $L_{n-k} \times \mathbb{R}^k$ lying along α is one of the $k+1$ copies of $L_{n-k} \times \mathbb{R}^k$ embedded as described above in the singularity $L_{n-k-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ lying along β .*

2.3. Cyclic structure sheaf. From the above description we see that the only data needed around a point in $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ to determine its singularity type is the number of permutohedra in the tiling of V_n which contain that point. We will encode that data, along with the data of relations among these singularities, in a cosheaf on $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$, from which we will subsequently produce a combinatorial model for the A -model category associated to $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$.

2.3.1. Definition. Define the cosheaf of finite sets $\mathcal{O}_{n-1}$ over the honeycomb $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ to be the connected components of the complement

$$\mathcal{O}_{n-1}(B) = \pi_0(B \setminus (B \cap \mathfrak{H}_{n-1}))$$

for small open balls $B \subset V_n$ centered at points of $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$. For inclusions $\iota : B' \hookrightarrow B$, the corresponding corestriction map is the map induced on π_0 by ι .

Because the cosheaf $\mathcal{O}_{n-1}$ is constructible with respect to a stratification of $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ as a regular cell complex, the cosheaf $\mathcal{O}_{n-1}$ can be equivalently described as a contravariant functor from the exit-path category $P(\mathfrak{H}_{n-1})$ associated to the stratified space $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$. (Likewise, a constructible sheaf on $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ is a covariant functor from $P(\mathfrak{H}_{n-1})$.) The category $P(\mathfrak{H}_{n-1})$ is equivalent to the poset which has one point α for each stratum S_α in $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$, and one arrow $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ for every relation $S_\alpha \subset \bar{S}_\beta$.

For each $\alpha \in P(\mathfrak{H}_{n-1})$, pick a ball U_α such that $U_\alpha \cap \bar{S}_\alpha = S_\alpha$, and $U_\alpha \cap S_\beta = \emptyset$ for α, β incomparable in $P(\mathfrak{H}_{n-1})$. Then we can define $\mathcal{O}_{n-1}$ as the functor

$$\mathcal{O}_{n-1} : P(\mathfrak{H}_{n-1})^{op} \rightarrow \text{Sets}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{n-1}(\alpha) = \pi_0(U_\alpha \setminus (U_\alpha \cap \mathfrak{H}_{n-1})),$$

where the map $\pi_0(U_\beta \setminus (U_\beta \cap \mathfrak{H}_{n-1})) \rightarrow \pi_0(U_\alpha \setminus (U_\alpha \cap \mathfrak{H}_{n-1}))$ induced by the incidence $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ is defined through the inclusions

$$U_\alpha \setminus (U_\alpha \cap \mathfrak{H}_{n-1}) \longleftarrow U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \setminus (U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap \mathfrak{H}_{n-1}) \xrightarrow{\sim} U_\beta \setminus (U_\beta \cap \mathfrak{H}_{n-1}),$$

using that the second is a homotopy equivalence.

Recall the cyclic category Λ of finite cyclically ordered nonempty sets: its objects are finite subsets $S \subset S^1$, and morphisms $S \rightarrow S'$ are given by homotopy classes of degree 1 maps $\varphi : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ such that $\varphi(S) \subset S'$. We would like to lift $\mathcal{O}_{n-1}$ to Λ ; in other words, we want to express $\mathcal{O}_{n-1}$ as the composition of the forgetful functor $\Lambda \rightarrow \text{Sets}$ with a functor $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{n-1} : P(\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}) \rightarrow \Lambda$. Such a lift is the same as a choice of cyclic ordering on every set $\mathcal{O}_{n-1}(\alpha)$.

Moreover, we want this lift to respect the $\widetilde{W}_n$ symmetry of $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$. The $\widetilde{W}_n$ symmetry of the honeycomb $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ induces a $\widetilde{W}_n$ action on $P(\mathfrak{H}_{n-1})$, and for $\alpha \in P(\mathfrak{H}_{n-1})$, this symmetry also induces an action of W on the set $\pi_0(B_\alpha \setminus (B_\alpha \cap \mathfrak{H}_{n-1}))$. This action does not affect the set itself but will alter the cyclic ordering if this set is endowed with one. Hence the condition of $\widetilde{W}_n$ -equivariance places extra requirements on the structure of $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{n-1}$.

2.3.2. Lemma. *There are $n!$ possible $\widetilde{W}_n$ -equivariant choices of lift $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{n-1}$, each determined by a choice of cyclic ordering on $\mathcal{O}_{n-1}(\alpha)$, where α corresponds to any vertex in $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$.*

Proof. Suppose we have chosen a cyclic ordering on $\mathcal{O}_{n-1}(\alpha)$ as in the lemma. For $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$, the inclusion $\mathcal{O}_{n-1}(\beta) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{n-1}(\alpha)$ determines a cyclic ordering on all $\mathcal{O}_{n-1}(\beta)$. Conversely, if $\alpha' \in P(\mathfrak{H}_{n-1})$ also corresponds to a 0-dimensional stratum in $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$, then the action of $\widetilde{W}_n$ transfers the cyclic ordering on $\mathcal{O}_{n-1}(\alpha)$ to $\mathcal{O}_{n-1}(\alpha')$ and hence also determines a cyclic order on all β with $\alpha' \rightarrow \beta$. We have to show that for any incidence relation of the form


$$\alpha \longrightarrow \beta \longleftarrow \alpha'$$

in $P(\mathfrak{H}_{n-1})$, both of the above methods of determining a cyclic order on $\mathcal{O}_{n-1}(\beta)$ coincide. In other words, if $\sigma \in \widetilde{W}_n$ is any element taking α to α' and taking β to itself, then we must show that σ acts as the trivial permutation on $\mathcal{O}_{n-1}(\beta)$. Since the affine Weyl group $\widetilde{W}_n$ is generated by reflections through root hyperplanes, we may assume σ is a reflection through a root hyperplane. Any intersection of such a hyperplane with a face of a Voronoi cell for the lattice Λ_n is transverse. Thus, if $\sigma \cdot \beta = \beta$, then σ is reflection through a hyperplane intersecting every connected component in $\mathcal{O}_{n-1}(\beta)$ and hence acts as the trivial permutation on $\mathcal{O}_{n-1}(\beta)$. $\square$

Now we fix a cyclic order at vertices as follows: at a vertex α , elements of the set $\mathcal{O}_{n-1}(\alpha)$ can be identified with the $n+1$ copies of the permutohedron which contain α . We endow this set of permutohedra with the cyclic order $[P_0, \dots, P_n]$ such that (taking indices cyclically modulo $n+1$) we have $P_i = P_{i-1} + \lambda_i$. Since we have $w \cdot P_i = w \cdot P_{i-1} + w \cdot \lambda_i$ for any $w \in \widetilde{W}_n$, this gives a consistent choice of cyclic structure at all vertices.

2.3.3. Definition. We define the functor

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{n-1} : P(\mathfrak{H}_{n-1})^{op} \rightarrow \Lambda$$

FIGURE 3. The cyclic order at a vertex in $\mathfrak{H}_1$

by using this cyclic order to lift the cosheaf of sets defined above to a cosheaf of cyclic sets:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{n-1}(\alpha) = \pi_0(B_\alpha \setminus (B_\alpha \cap \mathfrak{H}_{n-1})),$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{n-1}(\alpha)$ is given the cyclic order described in the previous paragraph.

2.4. Quantization. The cyclic cosheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{n-1}$ encodes the data of all the singularities of $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$, our combinatorial model for a skeleton of the pair of pants. Following the procedure described in [N3], we can produce from this cosheaf a sheaf (respectively, cosheaf) on $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ whose global sections are a dg category modeling the infinitesimally wrapped (respectively, partially wrapped) Fukaya category of branes running along the skeleton $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$. This procedure is analogous to the constructions of topological Fukaya categories described in [DK, HKK], although thanks to the arboreal singularities of our skeleton, the construction we describe here works in arbitrary dimensions.

The key ingredient in our construction is a functor

$$\mathcal{Q} : \Lambda^{op} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\text{-dgst}_k$$

which is described by the lemma below. First, for $S = [s_1, \dots, s_{n+1}]$ a cyclic set of $n+1$ elements, consider the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -dg category $(A_n\text{-Perf}_k)_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$, whose objects include the n simple modules $k_1, \dots, k_n$ and the shifted injective-projective $I_n[1] = P_1[1]$. We will relabel these objects $s_1, \dots, s_{n+1}$, respectively, and denote by $\langle s_1, \dots, s_{n+1} \rangle$ the full subcategory on these objects. Let $\mathcal{C}_S$ be the dg category of twisted complexes on $\langle s_1, \dots, s_{n+1} \rangle$. Since the simple objects generate $(A_n\text{-Perf}_k)_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$, the category $\mathcal{C}_S$ is equivalent to $(A_n\text{-Perf}_k)_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$, but with a manifest cyclic symmetry: the category $\mathcal{C}_S$ admits an action of $\mathbf{Z}/(n+1)\mathbf{Z}$, whose generator takes s_i to s_{i+1} , indexed cyclically. The main result of [N3] is the following:

2.4.1. Lemma ([N3] Theorem 1.1). *There exists a functor*

$$\mathcal{Q} : \Lambda^{op} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\text{-dgst}_k$$

such that $\mathcal{Q}(S) = \mathcal{C}_S$, and such that the map $\mathcal{Q}(i) : \mathcal{C}_{S'} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_S$ induced by the inclusion $i : S \hookrightarrow S'$ is the dg quotient of $\mathcal{C}_{S'}$ by the full subcategory on $\{s_i \mid s_i \in S' \setminus S\}$.

2.4.2. Remark. The functor in [N3] actually has target in the category of (two-periodic) A_∞ categories and strict functors; the functor described here is a $(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ -dg model of that one. (In fact, below we will describe two different $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -dg models of this functor.) Also,

although the functor $\mathcal{Q}$ has domain all of Λ^{op} , we have only described its effect (and do so more explicitly below) on injections of cyclic sets. We will see below that this is sufficient for our purposes, since $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{n-1}$ takes any arrow in the poset $P(\mathfrak{H}_{n-1})$ to an injection of cyclic sets.

2.4.3. Remark. The notational confusion of $s_i \in S$ with s_i the element of $\mathcal{C}_S$ in the lemma above is meant to indicate that our set of distinguished generators of $\mathcal{C}_S$ is indexed by the cyclic set S . The cyclic sets we consider will in general be sets $S = [P_1, \dots, P_n]$ of adjacent permutohedra as at the end of the previous section; in this case we will continue to denote the generators of $\mathcal{C}_S$ by $s_1, \dots, s_n$, with the understanding that s_i is indexed to P_i .

A choice of a linear order $\{s_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow s_n \rightarrow s_{n+1}\}$ underlying the cyclic order on S picks out an equivalence $\mathcal{C}_S \cong (A_n\text{-Perf}_k)_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ sending s_i to the simple object k_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and sending s_{n+1} to $I_n[1] \cong P_1[1]$. But since the cyclic set S does not have a distinguished linear order, there is no distinguished equivalence $\mathcal{C}_S \cong (A_n\text{-Perf}_k)_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ without making such a choice.

To see a more explicit description of the maps $\mathcal{C}_{S'} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_S$ which $\mathcal{Q}$ induces from an inclusion $S \rightarrow S'$, note first that the category $\mathcal{C}_S$ is generated by degree 1 morphisms $\alpha_i : s_i \rightarrow s_{i+1}$. (This corresponds in A_{n+1} to the degree 1 map of simple objects $k_i \rightarrow k_{i+1}$ representing the class of the nontrivial extension.) These morphisms satisfy $\alpha_{i+1}\alpha_i = 0$, so we can form complexes

$$s_{i,j} := (s_i \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} s_{i+1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{i+1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\alpha_{j-1}} s_j),$$

where s_j is placed in degree 0. Let i_k be the inclusion $\{1, \dots, n+1\} \setminus \{k\} \hookrightarrow \{1, \dots, n+1\}$. Then the map $\mathcal{Q}(i_k)$ acts as

$$\mathcal{Q}(i_k)(s_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} s_{i,j} & i \neq k \neq j \\ s_{i+1,j} & i = k \\ s_{i,j-1} & j = k. \end{cases}$$

Since any object in $\mathcal{C}_S$ is a direct sum of the $s_{i,j}$ and any inclusion $S \rightarrow S'$ can be written as a composition of inclusions which miss one element, the above gives a complete description of the behavior of the functor $\mathcal{Q}$ on the subcategory of Λ^{op} whose morphisms are injections of cyclic sets.

It will also be useful to have one other description of the functor $\mathcal{Q}$ which will give us a different way of thinking about the Fukaya category we describe below. Note that instead of taking the pretriangulated closure of $\langle s_0, \dots, s_n \rangle$ by using twisted complexes, we could equally well have used perfect modules: *i.e.*, we have an equivalence

$$\mathcal{C}_S \cong \text{Fun}^{ex}(\langle s_0, \dots, s_n \rangle, (\text{Perf}_k)_{\mathbb{Z}/2}).$$

Thus an object $\mathcal{F}$ in $\mathcal{S}$ can be thought of as a set of $n+1$ objects $\mathcal{F}(s_i)$ of $(\text{Perf}_k)_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ along with degree 1 maps $\mathcal{F}(s_i) \leftarrow \mathcal{F}(s_{i+1})$ satisfying some compatibility conditions. The $n+1$ equivalences of this category with $(A_n\text{-Perf}_k)_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ come from cyclic reindexing and then applying the equivalence

$$(\langle s_0, \dots, s_n \rangle^{op}\text{-Perf}_k)_{\mathbb{Z}/2} \longrightarrow (\langle s_1, \dots, s_n \rangle\text{-Perf}_k)_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$$

given by forgetting $\mathcal{F}(s_0)$ and the maps $\mathcal{F}(s_0) \leftarrow \mathcal{F}(s_1)$ and $\mathcal{F}(s_n) \leftarrow \mathcal{F}(s_0)$.

The functor $\mathcal{Q}$ is defined in this language by

$$\mathcal{Q}(i_k)(\mathcal{F})(s_i) = \begin{cases} (\mathcal{F}(s_{k-1}) \leftarrow \mathcal{F}(s_k)) & i = k - 1 \\ \mathcal{F}(s_i) & i \neq k - 1 \end{cases}$$

We will see below that the two dg models for the functor $\mathcal{Q}$, using twisted complexes or using perfect modules, give two different ways of talking about the Fukaya categories we construct. We will find each of these perspectives useful for proving different sorts of results about these categories: the perspective using twisted complexes is useful for describing objects, while the description using perfect modules is useful for checking their properties.

In addition to the functor $\mathcal{Q}$, we would like to produce a functor

$$\mathcal{Q}^{wr} : \Lambda \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\text{-dgst}_k.$$

of opposite variance, in order to produce a *cosheaf* of dg categories on $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$. We can define this functor by declaring $\mathcal{Q}^{wr}(S)$ to be $\mathcal{Q}(S)$, for any object S in Λ , and for any map $i : S \rightarrow S'$, we define $\mathcal{Q}^{wr}(i)$ to be left adjoint to the map $\mathcal{Q}(i) : \mathcal{Q}(S') \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(S)$ induced by $\mathcal{Q}$.

2.4.4. Definition. 1) Define the *local wrapped and infinitesimal quantizations* $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{wr}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf}$ to be the respective compositions

$$\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{wr} = \mathcal{Q}^{wr} \circ \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{n-1} : P(\mathfrak{H}_{n-1})^{op} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\text{-dgst}_k$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf} = \mathcal{Q} \circ \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{n-1}^{op} : P(\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\text{-dgst}_k$$

These are, respectively, a cosheaf and sheaf of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -dg-categories on $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ whose sections in a small ball around a point in a k -face are equivalent to the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -dg-category of representations of the A_{n-k} quiver.

2) Define the *global wrapped and infinitesimal quantizations* $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{wr}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf}$ to be the global sections of this cosheaf and sheaf:

$$\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{wr} = \operatorname{colim}_{P(\mathfrak{H}_{n-1})^{op}} \mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{wr} \quad \mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf} = \operatorname{lim}_{P(\mathfrak{H}_{n-1})} \mathcal{Q}_{n-1}.$$

These will be our respective models of the wrapped and infinitesimal Fukaya categories of the $\mathbf{Z}^n$ cover $\tilde{P}_{n-1}$ of the $(n-1)$ -dimensional pair of pants.

2.4.5. Remark. Note that these categories inherit $\widetilde{W}_n$ symmetries from the $\widetilde{W}_n$ -action on the poset $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ (and the equivariance of the cyclic structure sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{n-1}$). In particular, these categories have an action by the normal subgroup $\Lambda_n \subset \widetilde{W}_n$ of translations. We will denote the action of a translation $\lambda \in \Lambda_n$ on an object $\mathcal{F}$ by $\mathcal{F}\langle\lambda\rangle$, to match with our notation on the B-side in the next section.

2.5. The quantization categories. We would like to describe more explicitly the categories $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{wr}$. Since the category $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf}$ is presented as a limit, it is easier to understand: an object of $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf}$ is specified by the data of an object in the categories $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf}(\alpha)$ associated to each vertex α in $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ and coherent isomorphisms relating the results of restriction maps $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf}(\alpha_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf}(F)$ associated to pairs of inclusions $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \hookrightarrow \bar{F}$ from faces α_1, α_2 into the closure of a higher-dimensional face F . For $\mathcal{F}$ an object of $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf}$ and α a face in the honeycomb $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$, we will denote by $\mathcal{F}_\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}(\alpha)$ the component of $\mathcal{F}$ placed at the face α .

There are two useful ways to understand this category, corresponding to the two descriptions of $\mathcal{Q}(\alpha) = \mathcal{C}_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\alpha)}$, as perfect modules and as twisted complexes. We will begin with the first perspective, which allows us to think of an object in $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf}$ as the data of an object of $(\text{Perf}_k)_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ at each facet in $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ along with maps among these at codimension 2 facets, satisfying some conditions.

Let α be a vertex in $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$, which is contained in $n+1$ cyclically ordered permutohedra $P_0, \dots, P_n$. Then an object $\mathcal{F}$ in $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf}(\alpha)$, understood as a category of perfect modules over $\langle s_0, \dots, s_n \rangle$, is a collection of $n+1$ objects $\mathcal{F}(s_i)$ with degree 1 maps $\mathcal{F}(s_i) \leftarrow \mathcal{F}(s_{i+1})$ which compose to zero, satisfying the condition that for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n+1\}$, the complex

$$\mathcal{F}(s_i) \longleftarrow \mathcal{F}(s_{i+1}) \longleftarrow \dots \longleftarrow \mathcal{F}(s_{i-1}) \longleftarrow \mathcal{F}(s_i),$$

formed by taking successive cones, is acyclic.

2.5.1. Lemma. *Let F be the facet separating the permutohedra P_i and P_j , and let $\mathcal{F}|_F$ be the restriction of $\mathcal{F}$ to $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf}(F)$ (along the inclusion $\alpha \rightarrow F$). Then the perfect complex $\mathcal{F}|_F \in \text{Fun}^{ex}(\langle s_i, s_j \rangle^{op}, (\text{Perf}_k)_{\mathbb{Z}/2})$ is given by*

$$\mathcal{F}(s_i) = (\mathcal{F}(s_i) \longleftarrow \dots \longleftarrow \mathcal{F}(s_{j-1})) \quad \mathcal{F}(s_j) = (\mathcal{F}(s_j) \longleftarrow \dots \longleftarrow \mathcal{F}(s_{i-1})).$$

Proof. This follows directly from the definition of the functor $\mathcal{Q}$. $\square$

Let F_i be the facet containing α which separates the permutohedra P_i and P_{i-1} . Then from the above lemma we understand that the object of $(\text{Perf}_k)_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ placed at F_i is just $\mathcal{F}(s_i)$, and at the codimension 2 intersection of F_i and F_{i+1} is the map $\mathcal{F}(s_i) \leftarrow \mathcal{F}(s_{i+1})$.

There is also a geometric way of understanding $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf}(\alpha)$ as a category of twisted complexes, for a face α in $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$: each of the distinguished generators s_i of the category $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf}(\alpha)$, which are indexed by permutohedra P_i containing α , corresponds to a brane in the Fukaya category which locally near α runs along the interior of the permutohedron P_i ; the complexes s_{ij} correspond to branes which cross over to different permutohedra at α .

The compatibility conditions mentioned in the approach using perfect modules correspond in this perspective to a list of the possible configurations which a brane can take locally at each face α . If α is a codimension r face, so that it is contained in $r+1$ permutohedra $P_0, \dots, P_r$, then there are $\frac{r(r+1)}{2}$ possible such configurations, corresponding to the objects s_i and $s_{i,j}$ in the category $\mathcal{Q}(\alpha)$.

2.5.2. Example ($n = 1$). Let α be a vertex in $\mathfrak{H}_1$, which is shared by three hexagons P_0, P_1, P_2 . Then locally at α , there are three possible brane configurations s_0, s_1, s_2 (up to

a shift, these are equivalent to $s_{1,2}$, $s_{2,0}$, and $s_{0,1}$, respectively). These are illustrated in Figure 4.




FIGURE 4. A vertex v in $\mathfrak{H}_1$ and the brane configurations corresponding to the generating objects s_0, s_1, s_2 of $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf}(v)$.

One basic class of objects in the category $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf}$ are the “microlocal rank-one” objects: these are the objects $\mathcal{F}$ such that for every facet α , the object $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$ is equivalent in $\mathcal{Q}(\alpha)$ to either s_0 or s_1 (where these correspond to the two permutohedra separated by α ; cf. Remark 2.4.3). The microlocal rank-one objects in the Fukaya category perspective are those objects which run along each facet in $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ at most once.

2.5.3. Definition. Let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a set of permutohedra involved in the tiling of V_n . Then the boundary $B = \partial(\bigcup_i P_i)$ is a subset of $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ which is a union of strata. (We will occasionally denote B by $\partial\mathcal{P}$.) A *rank-one brane along $\partial\mathcal{P}$* is an object of $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf}$ whose support along each facet α in B is equivalent to s_0 , where s_0 is the generator of $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf}(\alpha)$ corresponding to the permutoheron in $\mathcal{P}$ containing α , and whose support along each facet α not in B is zero. If such an object exists, it is necessarily unique, and we will denote it by $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}}$.

2.5.4. Example. Let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_0\}$ be a single permutohedron P_0 . Then the object $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}}$ exists: for any face α in $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$, its support $(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}})_\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf}(\alpha)$ is given by

$$(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}})_\alpha = \begin{cases} s_0 & \alpha \in \partial P_0 \\ 0 & \alpha \notin \partial P_0. \end{cases}$$

This object, which under the mirror symmetry equivalence presented later in this paper will map to the skyscraper sheaf at the origin of $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}$, corresponds in the Fukaya category side to the immersed sphere whose endomorphisms were calculated by Sheridan in [Sh].

2.5.5. Example. Let $n = 3$. The 3-permutohedron is the truncated octahedron, which has both hexagon and square facets. Let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_0, P_0 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2\}$ be a set of two permutohedra which share a single square facet. Then there does not exist a rank-one brane along $\partial\mathcal{P}$.

The second example above shows that we need to institute an additional condition on the set $\mathcal{P}$ in order to guarantee the existence of a rank-one brane along $\partial\mathcal{P}$. One sufficient condition is given in the lemma below. Note that for any face α in $\partial\mathcal{P}$, the set

of permutohedra in $\mathcal{P}$ which contain α determines a subset $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\alpha)$ of the cyclic set $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\alpha)$ of all permutohedra containing α .

2.5.6. Lemma. *Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a set of permutohedra such that at every face α in $\partial\mathcal{P}$, the subset $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\alpha) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\alpha)$ is connected in the cyclic order on $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\alpha)$. Then the rank-one brane $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}}$ along $\mathcal{P}$ exists.*

Proof. We can define the object $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}}$ as follows: at any face α not in B , we set $(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}})_{\alpha} = 0$. At any face α in B , denote the cyclic set $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\alpha)$ of permutohedra containing α by $[P_0, \dots, P_r]$, and let $[P_i, P_{i+1}, \dots, P_j]$ denote the cyclic subset $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\alpha)$ of permutohedra contained in $\mathcal{P}$. By assumption, this set is connected in the cyclic order on $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\alpha)$, and hence in $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf}(\alpha)$ we can define the complex

$$s_{i,j} := (s_i \longrightarrow s_{i+1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow s_j),$$

and we set $(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}})_{\alpha} = s_{i,j}$. □

We would like to give a similarly explicit description of the category $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{wr}$, but the definition above is not well-suited to describing objects of this category, for the reason that colimits of dg categories are more difficult to present than limits are. In order to understand this colimit, we cite from [G] the following useful trick, originally due to Jacob Lurie:

2.5.7. Lemma ([G, Lemma 1.3.3]). *Let P be a category and $F : P^{op} \rightarrow \text{St}_k^L$ a functor to the category of cocomplete k -linear dg categories and continuous functors. Let $G : P \rightarrow \text{St}_k$ be a functor to the category of cocomplete k -linear dg categories which agrees with F on objects and such that $G(\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$ is right adjoint to $F(\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$. Then there is an equivalence $\text{colim}_{P^{op}} F \cong \lim_P G$.*

By construction, $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf} : P(\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\text{-dgst}_k$ agrees with $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{wr}$ on objects and $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf}(\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$ is right adjoint to $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{wr}(\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$, so we are almost in the situation in the lemma. However, the functors $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{wr}$ as defined have codomain all dg categories and not just cocomplete dg categories. We can rectify this by passing to Ind-completions.

Let $\mathcal{Q}^{\diamond}$ be the functor which shares all the properties of the functor $\mathcal{Q}$ characterized above, except that its values on objects are equivalent to $(A_n\text{-Mod}_k)_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ instead of $(A_n\text{-Perf}_k)_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$; that is, we allow complexes of any dimension, with no restriction to perfect complexes. Following the procedure by which we defined $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf}$, we produce in the same way a category $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{\diamond}$, which is similar to $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf}$ but allows infinite-rank stalks along facets. We are now in a position to apply the above lemma.

2.5.8. Corollary. *The idempotent-completion of $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{wr}$ is equivalent to the category of compact objects in $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{\diamond}$.*

Proof. The functors $\text{Ind} \circ \mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{wr}$ and $\text{Ind} \circ \mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf} = \mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{\diamond}$ satisfy the conditions of the above lemma, which thus provides an equivalence $\text{colim}_{P(\mathfrak{H}_{n-1})^{op}} (\text{Ind} \circ \mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{wr}) \cong \mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{\diamond}$ between their respective colimit and limit. Passing to the full subcategory of compact objects on

each side turns this into an equivalence

$$(\operatorname{colim}_{P(\mathfrak{H}_{n-1})^{op}} (\operatorname{Ind} \circ \mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{wr}))^{cpt} \cong (\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^\diamond)^{cpt}.$$

Since the Ind-completion commutes with the colimit, the left-hand side of this equivalence is $\operatorname{Ind}(\operatorname{colim}_{P(\mathfrak{H}_{n-1})^{op}} \mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{wr})^{cpt} \cong (\operatorname{Ind} \mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{wr})^{cpt}$, which is just the idempotent-completion of $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}$. $\square$

We can use the above lemma to give an explicit description of the generators of $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{wr}$. For F a facet in $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ and ξ a choice of normal direction to F , consider the map

$$\phi_{F,\xi} : \mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^\diamond \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^\diamond(F) \xrightarrow[\sim]{\xi} (\operatorname{Mod}_k)_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$$

which takes an object of $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^\diamond$ to the object of the category $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^\diamond(F)$ (which ξ identifies with $(\operatorname{Mod}_k)_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$) which is placed at the facet F of $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$. If $\xi_\pm$ are the two choices of normal to F , then the resulting functors agree up to a shift: $\phi_{F,\xi_+} = \phi_{F,\xi_-}[1]$. (Note that a choice of ξ is equivalent to a choice of one of the two permutohedra containing the facet F . If P is a choice of one of these permutohedra, we will occasionally denote the corresponding functor by $\phi_{F,P}$.)

Since the functor $\phi_{F,\xi}$ preserves products, it admits a left adjoint $\phi_{F,\xi}^\ell : \operatorname{Mod}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^\diamond$, and since it preserves coproducts, $\phi_{F,\xi}^\ell$ preserves compact objects. Hence, if we define $\delta_{F,\xi}$ to be $\phi_{F,\xi}^\ell(k)$, then $\delta_{F,\xi}$ is an object of $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{wr}$ which by construction corepresents the functor $\phi_{F,\xi}$.

2.5.9. Definition. For F a facet in $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$, the functor $\phi_{F,\xi}$ is a *stalk functor along F* . The object $\delta_{F,\xi}$ in $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{wr}$ corepresenting $\phi_{F,\xi}$ is a *skyscraper along F* .

It will be useful to restrict our attention to the maximal facets in the honeycomb $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$. Recall that the facets of the permutohedron $\mathcal{P}_n$ are of the form $\mathcal{P}_{n-k} \times \mathcal{P}_k$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$, and that we call “maximal facets” the facets of the form $\mathcal{P}_{n-1}$. Equivalently, these are the facets which are shared by a pair of permutohedra P and $P + \lambda_i$ for some i . In the description of $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf}$ using perfect modules, if an object $\mathcal{F}_v : \langle s_0, \dots, s_n \rangle^{op} \rightarrow (\operatorname{Perf}_k)_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ is placed at a vertex v , then $\mathcal{F}(s_i)$ are its stalks along the $n + 1$ maximal facets containing v . We can use this to establish the following lemma:

2.5.10. Lemma. *The category $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{wr}$ is split-generated by the set $\{\delta_{F,\xi}\}$ of skyscrapers along maximal facets of $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$.*

Proof. An object of $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^\diamond$ is zero if and only if its stalks along all maximal facets F are zero, which shows that the set of skyscrapers generates $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^\diamond$. Since the category $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^\diamond$ is the Ind-completion of its compact objects $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{wr}$, the skyscrapers generate the idempotent completion of $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{wr}$, or in other words they split-generate $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{wr}$. $\square$

Since we only really care about the idempotent-completion of $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{wr}$, we will from now on let $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{wr}$ denote that idempotent-completion (equivalently, the category of compact objects in $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^\diamond$).

so it would be enough to show that this limit is naturally equivalent to $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{Q}(F)}((\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}})_F, \mathcal{G}_F) \cong \phi_{F_i, P}(\mathcal{G})$. We will calculate this limit by making a series of simplifications until we arrive at the desired result. Heuristically, we will see that after restricting to the support of B , the calculation we want can be understood in a category of representations of a certain acyclic quiver.

First, let $P(B) \subset P(\mathfrak{H}_{n-1})$ be the full subposet on faces contained in B . Note that $h(\beta) = 0$ for any $\beta \notin P(B)$, and there are no maps $\beta \rightarrow \alpha$ for $\alpha \in P(B), \beta \notin P(B)$. Hence the natural map

$$\lim_{P(\mathfrak{H}_{n-1})} h \longrightarrow \lim_{P(B)} h,$$

is an equivalence.

But this latter limit is just the Hom space

$$\lim_{P(B)} h \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\lim_{P(B)} \mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf}}(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}}|_{P(B)}, \mathcal{G}|_{P(B)})$$

of the objects $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ after restriction to the category $\lim_{P(B)} \mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf}$, which is equivalent to the category of modules over a certain quiver with relations.

Let Q_B be the quiver with one vertex for every facet in B and one arrow for every codimension 2 face in B , with the direction of the arrows determined as in the description of $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf}$ by perfect modules. For $k > 2$, the two paths around each codimension k face form a non-oriented k -cycle, and we add the relation that these two paths commute. Then $\lim_{P(B)} \mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf}$ is equivalent to the category of modules over the quiver Q_B with these relations. The quiver representation corresponding to an object $\mathcal{F}$ in $\lim_{\tilde{P}(B)} \mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf}$ has at the vertex of Q_B corresponding to the facet α the perfect complex $\phi_{\alpha, \xi}(\mathcal{F})$, where ξ is the normal direction along α which points into $\mathcal{P}$ and $\phi_{\alpha, \xi}$ is the corresponding stalk functor.

The quiver Q_B is a connected and (after including the relations) acyclic quiver with an initial vertex, corresponding to the face F_i . By construction, the object $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is mapped by this equivalence to the quiver representation with k placed at every vertex, and every map an isomorphism. This object corepresents the functional on Q_B sending a quiver representation to the object of $(\mathrm{Perf}_k)_{\mathbf{Z}/2}$ placed at the initial vertex. Hence we have an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{(Q_B - \mathrm{Perf}_k)_{\mathbf{Z}/2}}(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}}|_{\tilde{P}(B)}, \mathcal{G}|_{\tilde{P}(B)}) \cong \phi_{F_i, P}(\mathcal{G}).$$

Composing all of the above equivalences, we conclude that the object $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}}$ corepresents the stalk functor $\phi_{F_i, P}$, as claimed. $\square$

We will also need the following fact about the objects $\mathcal{B}_{P, J}$, which expresses how they can be built out of one another:

2.5.14. Lemma. *Let P be a permutohedron in V_n , let $J' \subset \{1, \dots, n+1\}$ be a proper subset, and suppose that $J' = J \setminus \{i\}$ for some i and some proper subset $J \subset \{1, \dots, n+1\}$. Then in $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^{inf}$ there exists a map $x_i : \mathcal{B}_{P, J} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{P, J'}\langle \lambda_i \rangle$ and an isomorphism of complexes*

$$\mathcal{B}_{P, J'} \cong (\mathcal{B}_{P, J}\langle -\lambda_i \rangle \xrightarrow{x_i} \mathcal{B}_{P, J}).$$

Proof. The definition of the map x_i is clear in the case where $J = \{1, \dots, n+1\} \setminus \{j\}$ for some j . In this case we want to exhibit a map $x_i : \delta_{F_j, P}\langle -\lambda_i \rangle \rightarrow \delta_{F_j, P}$. But since

the domain corepresents the stalk functor along the facet $F_j - \lambda_i$ and we know that the codomain is rank-one along this facet, there is a one-dimensional space of maps between these two, so such an x_i exists. Moreover, from the definition of these two objects as the rank-one branes $\mathcal{B}_{P-\lambda_i, J}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{P, J}$, we see that the cone on this map is the rank-one brane $\mathcal{B}_{P, J \setminus \{i\}}$.

To produce the maps on the objects $\mathcal{B}_{P, J}$ for other J , we just need to note that the maps x_i commute, *i.e.*, that the squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \delta_{F_j, P} \langle -\lambda_i - \lambda_k \rangle & \xrightarrow{x_k} & \delta_{F_j, P} \langle -\lambda_i \rangle \\ x_i \downarrow & & \downarrow x_i \\ \delta_{F_j, P} \langle -\lambda_k \rangle & \xrightarrow{x_k} & \delta_{F_i, P} \end{array}$$

are commutative. Hence we can read this square as a map of complexes in two different ways: either vertically, as the map

$$x_i : \mathcal{B}_{P, \{j, k\}^c} \langle -\lambda_i \rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{P, \{j, k\}^c}$$

or horizontally, as the map

$$x_k : \mathcal{B}_{P, \{j, i\}^c} \langle -\lambda_k \rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{P, \{j, i\}^c}.$$

We can produce all the maps x_i by iterating this procedure, and they manifestly satisfy the relations described in the lemma. $\square$

3. MIRROR SYMMETRY

3.1. Landau-Ginzburg B -model. Here we recall from [N6] the structure of the B -brane category associated to the Landau-Ginzburg B -model with background $\mathbb{A}^{n+2}$ and superpotential $W_{n+1} = z_1 \cdots z_{n+1}$.

3.1.1. Matrix factorizations. Consider the background $M = \text{Spec} A$, with $A = k[z_1, \dots, z_n]$, and a superpotential $W \in A$ such that $0 \in \mathbb{A}^1$ is its only possible critical value.

We will denote by X the special fiber $W^{-1}(0) = \text{Spec}(A/(W))$.

Let $\text{Perf}(X)$ be the dg category of perfect complexes on X , and $\text{Coh}(X)$ the dg category of bounded coherent complexes of sheaves on X .

The category of B -branes associated to the LG model (M, W) is the derived category of singularities $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sing}}(X)$, which is defined as the 2-periodic dg quotient category

$$D_{\text{sing}}(X) = \text{Coh}(X)/\text{Perf}(X).$$

Orlov [O] established an equivalence of the derived category of singularities with the $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -dg category $\text{MF}(M, W)$ of matrix factorizations associated to (M, W) . The objects of this category are pairs (V, d) of a $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -graded free A -module V of finite rank equipped with an odd endomorphism d such that $d^2 = W \cdot \text{Id}$. Thus we have $V = V^0 \oplus V^1$, $d = (d_0, d_1) \in \text{Hom}(V^0, V^1) \oplus \text{Hom}(V^1, V^0)$, and $d^2 = (d_1 d_0, d_0 d_1) = (W \cdot \text{Id}, W \cdot \text{Id}) \in \text{Hom}(V^0, V^0) \oplus \text{Hom}(V^1, V^1)$. We denote the data of a matrix factorization by a diagram

$$V^0 \xrightarrow{d_0} V^1 \xrightarrow{d_1} V^0.$$

Orlov's equivalence

$$\mathrm{MF}(M, W)_{2\mathbf{Z}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{sing}}(X)$$

is given by

$$(V^0 \xrightarrow{d_0} V^1 \xrightarrow{d_1} V^0) \longmapsto \mathrm{coker}(d_1).$$

3.1.2. *Coordinate hyperplanes.* For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, set $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$.

In this paper, we are interested in the matrix factorization category associated to the background $\mathbb{A}^{n+1} = \mathrm{Spec} A$, with $A_{n+1} = k[z_a \mid a \in [n+1]]$, and the superpotential

$$W_{n+1} = z_1 \cdots z_{n+1} \in A_{n+1}.$$

This LG model is mirror to the pair of pants.

The special fiber of this superpotential is

$$X_n = W_{n+1}^{-1}(0) = \mathrm{Spec} B_n,$$

where we set $B_n = A_{n+1}/(W)$.

It will also be convenient to set $W_{n+1}^a = W_{n+1}/z_a \in A$, for $a \in [n+1]$.

For $a \in [n+1]$, let $X_n^a = \mathrm{Spec} A/(z_a) \subset X_n$ denote the coordinate hyperplane, and $\mathcal{O}_n^a$ its structure sheaf. As an object of $\mathrm{Perf}(\mathbb{A}^{n+1})$, it admits the free resolution

$$A_{n+1} \xrightarrow{z_a} A_{n+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_n^a,$$

and as an object of $\mathrm{Coh}(X_n)$, it admits the infinite resolution

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{W_{n+1}^a} B_n \xrightarrow{z_a} B_n \xrightarrow{W_{n+1}^a} B_n \xrightarrow{z_a} B_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_n^a.$$

For $a \in [n+1]$, let $\underline{\mathcal{Q}}_n^a \in \mathrm{MF}(\mathbb{A}^{n+1}, W_{n+1})$ denote the matrix factorization

$$A_{n+1} \xrightarrow{W_{n+1}^a} A_{n+1} \xrightarrow{z_a} A_{n+1}.$$

3.1.3. Proposition. *The $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -dg category $\mathrm{MF}(\mathbb{A}^{n+1}, W_{n+1})$ is split-generated by the collection of objects $\underline{\mathcal{Q}}_n^a$, for $a \in [n]$. There are equivalences of $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -graded k -modules*

$$H^*(\mathrm{Hom}(\underline{\mathcal{Q}}_n^a, \underline{\mathcal{Q}}_n^a)) \simeq A_{n+1}/(z_a, W_{n+1}^a), \quad a \in [n+1]$$

$$H^*(\mathrm{Hom}(\underline{\mathcal{Q}}_n^a, \underline{\mathcal{Q}}_n^b)) = A_{n+1}/(z_a, z_b)[-1], \quad a \neq b \in [n+1].$$

Proof. The collection of objects $\mathcal{O}_n^a$, for $a \in [n+1]$, generates $\mathrm{Coh}(X_n)$, and $\underline{\mathcal{Q}}_n^{n+1}$ is in the triangulated envelope of the collection of objects $\underline{\mathcal{Q}}_n^a$, for $a \in [n]$, hence the collection of objects $\underline{\mathcal{Q}}_n^a$, for $a \in [n]$, generates $\mathrm{MF}(\mathbb{A}^{n+1}, W_{n+1})$. The cohomology of morphism complexes is a straightforward calculation. $\square$

3.2. Equivariant/Graded version. In order to match the passage to a universal abelian cover on the pair of pants, we must pass to a quotient on the mirror. Equivalently, we must work with a B -model category which has been enhanced by equivariance data.

Let us return to the general setup $M = \text{Spec} A$, with $A = k[z_1, \dots, z_n]$, and now assume the superpotential $W \in A$ is homogeneous for an algebraic torus $T \subset (\mathbb{G}_m)^n$.

Let $\chi^*(T) = \text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{G}_m)$ denote the weight lattice of T , and $w \in \chi^*(T)$ the weight of W .

Recall that a T -equivariant k -module is equivalently a T -representation, or equivalently again a $\chi^*(T)$ -graded k -module. Given a T -equivariant k -module V , we write V_λ for the λ -component of V , for $\lambda \in \chi^*(T)$. Given a T -equivariant k -module V , and a weight $\mu \in \chi^*(T)$, we have the μ -twisted k -module defined by $V\langle\mu\rangle_\lambda = V_{\lambda-\mu}$, for $\lambda \in \chi^*(T)$.

As before, let $X = W^{-1}(0) = \text{Spec} B$ denote the special fiber, with $B = A/(W)$.

Let $\text{Perf}(X)^T$ be the dg category of T -equivariant perfect complexes on X , and $\text{Coh}(X)^T$ the dg category of T -equivariant bounded coherent complexes of sheaves on X .

Let $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sing}}(X)^T = \text{Coh}(X)^T / \text{Perf}(X)^T$ be the dg quotient category of T -equivariant singularities. Note that $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sing}}(X)^T$ is not a 2-periodic dg category, but rather the shift $[2]$ is equivalent to the twist $\langle w \rangle$.

Let $\text{MF}(M, W)^T$ be the dg category of T -equivariant matrix factorizations. Its objects are pairs (V, d) of a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded free T -equivariant A -module $V = V^0 \oplus V^1$ of finite rank together with a T -equivariant morphism $d = (d_0, d_1) \in \text{Hom}(V^0\langle -w \rangle, V^1) \oplus \text{Hom}(V^1, V^0)$ such that $d^2 = (d_1 d_0, d_0 d_1) = (Wid, Wid) \in \text{Hom}(V^0\langle -w \rangle, V^0) \oplus \text{Hom}(V^1\langle -w \rangle, V^1)$. We denote the data of a matrix factorization by a diagram

$$V^0\langle -w \rangle \xrightarrow{d_0} V^1 \xrightarrow{d_1} V^0,$$

or equivalently by its w -twisted periodicization

$$\dots \longrightarrow V^1\langle -w \rangle \xrightarrow{d_1} V^0\langle -w \rangle \xrightarrow{d_0} V^1 \xrightarrow{d_1} V^0 \xrightarrow{d_0} V^1\langle w \rangle \longrightarrow \dots$$

The morphism complex between T -equivariant matrix factorizations is the usual T -equivariant morphism complex between their w -twisted periodicizations. (Hence if T is the trivial torus, then $\text{MF}(M, W)^T$ is the usual $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -dg category $\text{MF}(M, W)$ of plain matrix factorizations, considered as a 2-periodic dg category.) Note that the shift $[2]$ is equivalent to the twist $\langle w \rangle$.

As in the non-equivariant case, there is an equivalence of dg categories

$$\text{MF}(M, W)^T \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}_{\text{sing}}(M_0)^T \quad (V = V^0 \oplus V^1, d = (d_0, d_1)) \longmapsto \text{coker}(d_1)$$

Now let us focus on the background $\mathbb{A}^{n+1} = \text{Spec} A$, with $A_{n+1} = k[z_a \mid a \in [n+1]]$, and the superpotential

$$W_{n+1} = z_1 \cdots z_{n+1} \in A_{n+1}.$$

Recall the union of coordinate hyperplanes

$$X_n = W_{n+1}^{-1}(0) = \text{Spec} B_n,$$

where we set $B_n = A_{n+1}/(W)$.

Now consider the entire torus $T_{n+1} = (\mathbb{G}_m)^{n+1}$ with weight lattice $\chi^*(T_{n+1}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} = \mathbb{Z}\langle\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n+1}\rangle$. We will be interested in the subtorus $\mathbb{T}^n$ which is the kernel of the restriction of the superpotential $W_{n+1} = z_1 \cdots z_{n+1}$ to T^n . The torus $\mathbb{T}^n$ has weight lattice $\Lambda_n = \mathbb{Z}\langle\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n+1}\rangle / (\sum \lambda_i)$. (As before we use λ_i to denote the class of λ_i in $\chi^*(\mathbb{T}^n)$. Since we will never be interested in the torus T^{n+1} , this ambiguity poses no problems for us.) As a subtorus of T_{n+1} , the torus $\mathbb{T}^n$ inherits a natural action on $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}$, equipping A_{n+1} with the Λ_n -grading for which the coordinate function $z_a \in A_{n+1}$ has weight λ_a , for $a \in [n+1]$.

We will be interested in the $\mathbb{T}^n$ -equivariant matrix factorization category $\mathrm{MF}(\mathbb{A}^{n+1}, W_{n+1})^{\mathbb{T}^n}$. Note that since the superpotential $W_{n+1} \in A_{n+1}$ has weight 0 for the $\mathbb{T}^n$ action, the shift [2] in this category is actually equivalent to the identity, and hence $\mathbb{T}^n$ -equivariant matrix factorizations actually form a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -dg category.

For $a \in [n+1]$, let $\underline{\mathcal{Q}}_n^a \in \mathrm{MF}(\mathbb{A}^{n+1}, W_{n+1})^{\mathbb{T}^n}$ denote the $\mathbb{T}^n$ -equivariant matrix factorization

$$A_{n+1} \xrightarrow{W_{n+1}^a} A_{n+1}\langle\lambda_a\rangle \xrightarrow{z_a} A_{n+1}.$$

We have the following elaboration of Proposition 3.1.3.

3.2.1. Proposition. *The dg category $\mathrm{MF}(\mathbb{A}^{n+1}, W_{n+1})^{\mathbb{T}^n}$ is generated by the collection of objects $\underline{\mathcal{Q}}_n^a\langle\lambda\rangle$, for $a \in [n]$, and $\lambda \in \Lambda_n$. There are equivalences of $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded k -modules*

$$H^*(\mathrm{Hom}(\underline{\mathcal{Q}}_n^a\langle\lambda\rangle, \underline{\mathcal{Q}}_n^a\langle\mu\rangle)) \simeq A_{n+1}/(z_a, W_{n+1}^a)_{\mu-\lambda}, \quad a \in [n+1]$$

$$H^*(\mathrm{Hom}(\underline{\mathcal{Q}}_n^a\langle\lambda\rangle, \underline{\mathcal{Q}}_n^b\langle\mu\rangle)) \simeq A_{n+1}/(z_a, z_b)[-1]_{\mu-\lambda+\lambda_a}, \quad a \neq b \in [n+1].$$

Proof. This is the same calculation as in Proposition 3.1.3 but restricted to the subcomplex of $\mathbb{T}^n$ -equivariant maps. The extra twist by λ_a in the second equality is a result of our choice to twist the degree 1 (rather than degree 0) piece when defining the equivariant complex $\underline{\mathcal{Q}}_n^a$. $\square$

We highlight also one additional piece of structure which is useful for understanding the equivalence proved in the next subsection.

3.2.2. Definition. We will let

$$f_{ij} : \underline{\mathcal{Q}}_n^i[1] \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{Q}}_n^j\langle\lambda_i\rangle$$

be the (closed, degree 0) map of matrix factorizations which is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A_{n+1}\langle\lambda_i\rangle & \xrightarrow{-z_i} & A_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{-W_{n+1}^i} & A_{n+1}\langle\lambda_i\rangle \\ \downarrow = & & \downarrow W_{n+1}^{i,j} & & \downarrow = \\ A_{n+1}\langle\lambda_i\rangle & \xrightarrow{W_{n+1}^j} & A_{n+1}\langle\lambda_j + \lambda_i\rangle & \xrightarrow{z_j} & A_{n+1}\langle\lambda_i\rangle, \end{array}$$

where we write $W_{n+1}^{i,j}$ for $W_{n+1}/(z_i z_j)$.

The map f_{ij} is a representative for

$$1 \in A_{n+1}/(z_i, z_j)[-1]_0 \cong H^*(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MF}(\mathbb{A}^{n+1}, W_{n+1})^{\mathbb{T}^n}}(\underline{\mathcal{Q}}_n^i, \underline{\mathcal{Q}}_n^j\langle\lambda_i\rangle)),$$

and the collection of maps f_{ij} (together with their twists by $\lambda \in \Lambda$) form a set of generating morphisms for the category $\mathrm{MF}(\mathbb{A}^{n+1}, W_{n+1})^{\mathbb{T}^n}$.

3.2.3. Lemma. *Let $i_1, \dots, i_{n+1}$ be a reordering of the elements in $\{1, \dots, n+1\}$.*

- (i) *The composition $\underline{\mathcal{O}}_n^{i_1} \xrightarrow{f_{i_1 i_2}} \underline{\mathcal{O}}_n^{i_2} \langle \lambda_{i_1} \rangle [1] \xrightarrow{f_{i_2 i_3}} \underline{\mathcal{O}}_n^{i_3} \langle \lambda_{i_1} + \lambda_{i_2} \rangle$ is 0.*
- (ii) *The complex*

$$\left(\underline{\mathcal{O}}_n^{i_1} \xrightarrow{f_{i_1 i_2}} \underline{\mathcal{O}}_n^{i_2} \langle \lambda_{i_1} \rangle [1] \xrightarrow{f_{i_2 i_3}} \dots \xrightarrow{f_{i_n i_{n+1}}} \underline{\mathcal{O}}_n^{i_{n+1}} \langle \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{i_{n+1}} \rangle [n] \right)$$

is acyclic.

Proof. In order to simplify notation, we'll work in the nonequivariant category, since proving the results there will imply them in the equivariant category. Moreover, the computation is easier if we work in the derived category of singularities $D_{\mathrm{sing}}^b(\mathrm{Spec}(A_{n+1}/W_{n+1}))$ instead of the matrix factorization category. The equivalence between these takes the matrix factorization $\underline{\mathcal{O}}_n^a$ (up to a twist) to the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_n^a = A_{n+1}/(z_a)$ of the hyperplane $\{z_a = 0\}$, and it takes the degree 1 map f_{ij} to the extension

$$A_{n+1}/(z_j) \xrightarrow{z_i} A_{n+1}/(z_i z_j) \xrightarrow{z_j} A_{n+1}/(z_i).$$

We can also note that in D_{sing}^b , we have an isomorphism $A_{n+1}/(z_j)[1] \cong A_{n+1}/(W_{n+1}^j)$, and hence the degree 1 map f_{ij} can be equivalently described as either of the degree zero maps

$$A_{n+1}/(z_i) \xrightarrow{W_{n+1}^j/z_i} A_{n+1}/(W_{n+1}^j) \quad \text{or} \quad A_{n+1}/(W_{n+1}^i) \longrightarrow A_{n+1}/(z_j),$$

where the second map is the obvious quotient. Hence the composition described in part (i) of the lemma is just

$$A_{n+1}/(z_{i_1}) \xrightarrow{W_{n+1}^{i_2}/z_{i_1}} A_{n+1}/(W_{n+1}^{i_2}) \longrightarrow A_{n+1}/(z_{i_3}),$$

which is 0 since the second map quotients out the image of the first. This proves part (i) of the lemma.

For part (ii), we can note that in $D_{\mathrm{sing}}^b(\mathbb{A}^{n+1}, W_{n+1})$, the complex described in the lemma is equivalent (up to shifts and twists) to $A_{n+1}/(z_1 \cdots z_{n+1}) = A_{n+1}/W_{n+1}$, which, as a free rank 1 module over A_{n+1}/W_{n+1} , is a perfect complex and hence is equivalent to 0 in the derived category of singularities. $\square$

3.3. Main result. The main result of this paper will be a $\widetilde{W}_n$ -equivariant equivalence between the equivariant matrix factorization category $\mathrm{MF}(\mathbb{A}^{n+1}, W_{n+1})^{\mathbb{T}^n}$ and the combinatorial Fukaya category Q_{n-1}^{wr} constructed in the previous section. We will establish this equivalence by describing a functor

$$\mathrm{Coh}(W_{n+1}^{-1}(0))^{\mathbb{T}^n} \xrightarrow{\bar{\Phi}} Q_{n-1}^{inf}$$

and checking that it factors through both the projection

$$\mathrm{Coh}(W_{n-1}^{-1}(0))^{\mathbb{T}^n} \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(W_{n+1}^{-1}(0))^{\mathbb{T}^n} / \mathrm{Perf}(W_{n+1}^{-1}(0))^{\mathbb{T}^n} \cong \mathrm{MF}(\mathbb{A}^{n+1}, W_{n+1})^{\mathbb{T}^n}$$

and the inclusion

$$Q_{n-1}^{wr} \hookrightarrow Q_{n-1}^{inf},$$

and that the middle functor Φ in the resulting sequence of functors

$$\mathrm{Coh}(W_{n-1}^{-1}(0))^{\mathbb{T}^n} \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{MF}(\mathbb{A}^{n+1}, W_{n-1})^{\mathbb{T}^n} \xrightarrow{\Phi} Q_{n-1}^{wr} \hookrightarrow Q_{n-1}^{inf}$$

is an equivalence of categories.

In order to define a functor with domain $\mathrm{Coh}(W_{n+1}^{-1}(0))$, we use the fact that the variety $W_{n+1}^{-1}(0)$ can be obtained by gluing together copies of affine space: Let D be the poset of proper subsets I of the set $\{1, \dots, n+1\}$, and write $\mathbb{A}^I$ for $\mathbb{A}^{|I|}$. Then the natural inclusion maps $\mathbb{A}^I \rightarrow W_{n+1}^{-1}(0)$ and the inclusion maps $\mathbb{A}^I \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^J$ induced by inclusions $I \subset J$ give a D -diagram of varieties, and we have an equivalence

$$\mathrm{colim}_D \mathbb{A}^I \xrightarrow{\sim} W_{n+1}^{-1}(0).$$

This induces an equivalence

$$\mathrm{colim}_D \mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{A}^I)^{\mathbb{T}^n} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Coh}(W_{n+1}^{-1}(0))^{\mathbb{T}^n},$$

so that the functor $\bar{\Phi}$ will be an object of

$$\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{colim}_D \mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{A}^I)^{\mathbb{T}^n}, Q_{n-1}^{inf})^{\widetilde{W}_n} = \lim_D \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{A}^I)^{\mathbb{T}^n}, Q_{n-1}^{inf})^{\widetilde{W}_n}.$$

A $\widetilde{W}_n$ -equivariant functor from $\mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{A}^I)^{\mathbb{T}^n}$ is just a choice of object $\mathcal{O}_I$ with commuting maps $x_i : \mathcal{O}_I \langle -\lambda_i \rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_I$ for each $i \in I$. Since the limit diagram $\lim_D \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{A}^I)^{\mathbb{T}^n}, Q_{n-1}^{inf})^{\widetilde{W}_n}$ is strict, objects of this limit can be defined “by hand,” without any higher coherence data: such an object is a choice of a $\widetilde{W}_n$ -equivariant functor $\mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{A}^I)^{\mathbb{T}^n} \rightarrow Q_{n-1}^{inf}$ for each I , plus coherent equivalences

$$\mathcal{O}_{I \setminus \{i\}} \cong (\mathcal{O}_I \langle -\lambda_i \rangle \xrightarrow{x_i} \mathcal{O}_I).$$

According to the above analysis, we can define a functor $\bar{\Phi}$ as follows: fix once and for all a permutohedron P in V_n . Then we define $\bar{\Phi}$ by declaring $\mathcal{O}_I = \mathcal{B}_{P,I}$ and the maps x_i to be the maps from Lemma 2.5.14.

3.3.1. Lemma. *These choices satisfy the necessary relations to define a functor*

$$\mathrm{Coh}(W^{-1}(0))^{\mathbb{T}^n} \cong \mathrm{colim}_D \mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{A}^I)^{\mathbb{T}^n} \xrightarrow{\bar{\Phi}} Q_{n-1}^{inf}.$$

Proof. This is exactly the content of Lemma 2.5.14. $\square$

Note that this means in particular that for $I = \{1, \dots, n+1\} \setminus \{i\}$, the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^I}$ is mapped by $\bar{\Phi}$ to the skyscraper $\delta_{F_i, P}$, where F_i is the facet separating P from $P - \lambda_i$.

3.3.2. Theorem. *The functor $\bar{\Phi}$ can be factored as a composition*

$$\mathrm{Coh}(W_{n-1}^{-1}(0))^{\mathbb{T}^n} \longrightarrow \mathrm{MF}(\mathbb{A}^{n+1}, W_{n-1})^{\mathbb{T}^n} \xrightarrow{\Phi} Q_{n-1}^{wr} \longrightarrow Q_{n-1}^{inf},$$

where the left-hand map is the projection, the right-hand map is the inclusion, and the middle map Φ is an equivalence of categories equivariant for the $\widetilde{W}_n$ action.

Proof. To see that $\bar{\Phi}$ factors through the projection

$$\mathrm{Coh}(W_{n-1}^{-1}(0))^{\mathbb{T}^n} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(W_{n-1}^{-1}(0))^{\mathbb{T}^n} / \mathrm{Perf}(W_{n-1}^{-1}(0))^{\mathbb{T}^n} \cong \mathrm{MF}(\mathbb{A}^{n+1}, W_{n-1})^{\mathbb{T}^n},$$

we need only to check that the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{W_{n-1}^{-1}(0)}$ is sent to 0 by $\bar{\Phi}$. The structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{W_{n-1}^{-1}(0)}$ of the colimit $\mathrm{colim} \mathbb{A}^I$ is presented as the limit of the structure sheaves $i_{I*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^I}$ (where i_I is the inclusion of $\mathbb{A}^I$ into $\mathrm{colim} \mathbb{A}^I$). The image of this object under $\bar{\Phi}$ is the limit of the rank-one branes $\mathcal{B}_{P,I}$, which is zero, as required.

Hence $\bar{\Phi}$ does indeed induce a map $\mathrm{MF}(\mathbb{A}^{n+1}, W_{n-1})^{\mathbb{T}^n} \rightarrow Q_{n-1}^{inf}$. Moreover, by construction this map sends the generators $\underline{\mathcal{O}}_n^a$ to the skyscrapers $\delta_{F_i, P}$, which generate Q_{n-1}^{wr} , and so we see that $\bar{\Phi}$ factors through a map

$$\Phi : \mathrm{MF}(\mathbb{A}^{n+1}, W_{n-1})^{\mathbb{T}^n} \longrightarrow Q_{n-1}^{wr}.$$

To show that this functor Φ is an equivalence, it suffices to check that each of the generating morphisms

$$\underline{\mathcal{O}}_n^i[1] \xrightarrow{f_{ij}} \underline{\mathcal{O}}_n^j \langle \lambda_i \rangle$$

for the category $\mathrm{MF}(\mathbb{A}^{n+1}, W_{n+1})^{\mathbb{T}^n}$ is sent by Φ to the unique nonzero morphism

$$\delta_{F_i, P}[1] \longrightarrow \delta_{F_j, P} \langle \lambda_i \rangle,$$

which we will denote by g_{ij} . This follows from the fact that a representative for f_{ij} in the colimit presentation of $\mathrm{Coh}(W^{-1}(0))^{\mathbb{T}^n}$ is the map presenting $\mathcal{O}_n^i$ as the cone on the map

$$\lim(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^{\{i\}^c}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^{\{i,j\}^c}} \longleftarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^{\{j\}^c}})[1] \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^{\{i\}^c}}[1],$$

so that $\Phi(f_{ij})$ is the map presenting $\delta_{F_j, P}$ as the cone on

$$\lim(\mathcal{B}_{\{i\}^c} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\{i,j\}^c} \longleftarrow \mathcal{B}_{\{j\}^c})[1] \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\{i\}^c}[1].$$

But this is a presentation of the map g_{ij} , as desired. We conclude that Φ is a $\widetilde{W}_n$ -equivariant equivalence of categories. $\square$

4. SYMMETRY BREAKING

So far in this paper we have described a category Q_{n-1}^{wr} and shown that it is equivalent to $\mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{A}_{n+1}, W_{n+1})^{\mathbb{T}^n}$. However, we have not yet explained why the category Q_{n-1}^{wr} is the A -model associated to the Λ_n -cover $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{n-1}$ of the pair of pants. In this section, we will recall our perspective on the A -model of a Weinstein manifold as a category of wrapped microlocal sheaves on a Lagrangian skeleton, and, using the skeleton for the pair of pants described in [N6], we will show that our category Q_{n-1}^{wr} is the A -model category associated

to $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{n-1}$ in this formalism. This establishes the main equivalence of our paper as an instance of homological mirror symmetry.

4.1. Microlocal A-model. We recall here some properties of microlocal sheaf categories. We refer to [KS] for definitions and a full exposition of the theory of microlocal sheaves, and to [N6] for a brief review of the theory along with the definition of the wrapped microlocal sheaf categories.

4.1.1. Setup. Let Z be a real-analytic manifold. We will denote by $Sh^\diamond(Z)$ the dg category of all complexes of sheaves of k -vector spaces on Z for which there exists a Whitney stratification $\mathcal{S} = \{Z_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ of Z such that for each stratum $Z_\alpha \subset Z$, the total cohomology sheaf of the restriction $\mathcal{F}|_{Z_\alpha}$ is locally constant. We will denote by $Sh(Z)$ the full subcategory of $Sh^\diamond(Z)$ on the sheaves whose cohomology sheaves on each stratum are finite rank.

We would like to consider the subcategories of $Sh^\diamond(Z)$ defined by singular support conditions, which we recall now. Fix a point $(z, \xi) \in T^*Z$. Let $B \subset Z$ be an open ball around $z \in Z$, and $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a smooth function such that $f(z) = 0$ and $df|_z = \xi$. We will refer to f as a compatible test function.

Then the *vanishing cycles functor* ϕ_f associated to the function f is defined by

$$\phi_f : Sh^\diamond(Z) \longrightarrow \text{Mod}_k,$$

$$\phi_f(\mathcal{F}) = \Gamma_{\{f \geq 0\}}(B, \mathcal{F}|_B) \simeq \text{Cone}(\Gamma(B, \mathcal{F}|_B) \rightarrow \Gamma(\{f < 0\}, \mathcal{F}|_{\{f < 0\}}))[-1],$$

where we take $B \subset Z$ sufficiently small. In other words, we take sections of $\mathcal{F}$ over the ball B supported where $f \geq 0$, or equivalently vanishing where $f < 0$.

To any object $\mathcal{F} \in Sh^\diamond(Z)$, we can associate its *singular support*

$$ss(\mathcal{F}) \subset T^*Z$$

to be the largest closed subset such that $\phi_f(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 0$, for any $(z, \xi) \in T^*Z \setminus ss(\mathcal{F})$, and any compatible test function f . The singular support $ss(\mathcal{F})$ is a closed conic Lagrangian subvariety of T^*Z .

For a conic Lagrangian subvariety $\Lambda \subset T^*Z$, we write $Sh_\Lambda^\diamond(Z) \subset Sh^\diamond(Z)$ (respectively $Sh_\Lambda(Z) \subset Sh(Z)$) for the full dg subcategory of objects $\mathcal{F} \in Sh^\diamond(Z)$ (respectively $\mathcal{F} \in Sh(Z)$) with singular support satisfying $ss(\mathcal{F}) \subset \Lambda$.

4.1.2. Microlocal sheaf categories. Now we can recall the definition of the microlocal sheaf and wrapped microlocal sheaf categories associated to a conic Lagrangian.

Let $\Lambda \subset T^*Z$ be a closed conic Lagrangian subvariety. To Λ we can associate a conic sheaf of dg categories $\mu Sh_\Lambda^\diamond$ on T^*Z which is supported on Λ . Its global sections $\mu Sh^\diamond(T^*Z)$ form the category of *large microlocal sheaves along Λ* .

Since $\mu Sh_\Lambda^\diamond$ is a sheaf, its definition can be stated locally. Let $(z, \xi) \in T^*Z$, and let Ω be a small conic open neighborhood of (z, ξ) . We will write $B = \pi(\Omega)$ for the projection of Ω to a small neighborhood of z in Z .

If $\xi = 0$, so that $\Omega = T^*B$, then we have a natural equivalence

$$Sh_\Lambda^\diamond(B) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mu Sh_\Lambda^\diamond(\Omega)$$

of the category of large microlocal sheaves along $\Lambda \cap \Omega$ with the category of large constructible sheaves on B with singular support in Λ .

If $\xi \neq 0$, so that $\Omega \cap Z = \emptyset$, then the category of large microlocal sheaves on $\Lambda \cap \Omega$ is naturally equivalent to a dg quotient category,

$$Sh_{\Lambda}^{\diamond}(B, \Omega)/K^{\diamond}(B, \Omega) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mu Sh_{\Lambda}^{\diamond}(\Omega),$$

where $Sh_{\Lambda}^{\diamond}(B, \Omega) \subset Sh^{\diamond}(B)$ is the full dg subcategory of objects $\mathcal{F} \in Sh^{\diamond}(B)$ with singular support satisfying $ss(\mathcal{F}) \cap \Omega \subset \Lambda$ and $K^{\diamond}(B, \Omega) \subset Sh_{\Lambda}^{\diamond}(B, \Omega)$ denotes the full dg subcategory of objects $\mathcal{F} \in Sh^{\diamond}(B)$ with singular support satisfying $ss(\mathcal{F}) \cap \Omega = \emptyset$.

The main fact we will need about the calculation of these microlocal sheaf categories is the calculation, done in [N4], that the category of microlocal sheaves on an arboreal singularity of type A_n is equivalent to the category of modules over the A_n quiver.

Now we recall from [N6] the category of wrapped microlocal sheaves:

4.1.3. Definition. The category of *wrapped microlocal sheaves along $\Lambda \cap \Omega$* is the full dg subcategory

$$\mu Sh_{\Lambda}^{wr}(\Omega) \subset \mu Sh_{\Lambda}^{\diamond}(\Omega).$$

of compact objects inside the category $\mu Sh_{\Lambda}^{\diamond}(\Omega)$ of big microlocal sheaves.

In that paper was proved the following fact:

4.1.4. Proposition ([N6] Proposition 3.16). *The categories $\mu Sh_{\Lambda}^{wr}(\Omega)$ assemble into a cosheaf of categories on Λ .*

We will refer to the global sections of this cosheaf as the category of *wrapped microlocal sheaves along Λ* .

4.1.5. Skeleta and quantization categories. Now we are almost ready to discuss the relation of this paper to Fukaya categories. Recall first the definition of a Weinstein manifold:

4.1.6. Definition. A *Weinstein manifold* (W, ω, Z, h) is a symplectic manifold (W, ω) along with a vector field Z satisfying the Liouville condition $\iota_Z \omega = \omega$ and a Morse function $h : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for which the Liouville field Z is gradient-like. (We will often suppress the latter three data in the notation for a Weinstein manifold.)

An excellent reference for the theory of Weinstein manifolds is [CE]. The only fact about Weinstein manifolds we will need here is that to a Weinstein manifold is associated a canonical *skeleton* Λ , given as the union of stable manifolds for flow of the Liouville field Z . The Liouville flow gives a retraction of W onto Λ .

Since the definition of microlocal sheaf categories given above applies only in the case of cotangent bundles, we will pass to the symplectic geometry of a cotangent bundle before studying such categories.

4.1.7. Definition. Let W be a Weinstein manifold with skeleton Λ , and let M be a smooth manifold and $L \subset T^*M$ a conic subvariety such that there is an exact symplectomorphism between a conic open neighborhood of Λ and a conic open neighborhood Ω of L taking Λ to L . Then we call the category $\mu Sh_{\Lambda}^{wr}(\Omega)$ of wrapped microlocal sheaves along Λ the

wrapped microlocal A-model category associated to W . To make explicit the dependence of this category on the Weinstein structure of W , we will sometimes include the skeleton Λ in the notation.

There is work in progress in [GPS1, GPS2] towards an equivalence of this category with the wrapped Fukaya category associated to W defined using counts of holomorphic disks.

4.1.8. Remark. In order to put ourselves in the cotangent setting mentioned above, it is usually necessary to pass from a Weinstein manifold W to its stabilization $W^{stab} = W \times S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$, which is the result of applying the procedures of circular contactification and then symplectification to W . Abusing notation, we will also refer to the wrapped microlocal A -model category associated to W^{stab} as the wrapped microlocal A -model category associated to W , since an appropriate global definition of microlocal sheaves should not distinguish these two geometries.

4.1.9. Remark. Although the category of wrapped microlocal sheaves as defined above is a dg (rather than $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -dg) category, this grading is the result of the unnatural choice we made in identifying a Weinstein skeleton to a Lagrangian in a cotangent bundle. In order to pursue the most natural description of the A -model category below, we will work instead with $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded versions of these microlocal sheaf categories.

4.2. Equivalence with combinatorial A-model. Fix the standard identification $T^*V_n \simeq V_n \times V_n^*$. Recall that V_n inherits a metric as a subspace of $(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})^*$, so that every rank n lattice $\Lambda \subset V_n$ produces an associated Voronoi tiling of V_n , the boundary of whose cells is an $(n-1)$ -dimensional complex $\mathfrak{H} \subset V_n$, the honeycomb associated to the lattice Λ . As in the case of $\mathfrak{H}_n$, the space $\mathfrak{H}$ is an infinite polyhedral cell complex, so the notions of “face” and “facet” make sense for $\mathfrak{H}$.

Fix a choice of such a lattice Λ . In this subsection we will study the case $\Lambda = \Lambda_n$ as in the rest of the paper, but in the next subsection we will consider slightly more general lattices.

For each face α in $\mathfrak{H}$, denote by $V_\alpha \subset V_n$ the linear subspace with $\dim V_\alpha = \dim \alpha$ and parallel to α . Denote by $V_\alpha^\perp \subset V_n^*$ its orthogonal and note that the conormal bundle to α takes the form

$$T_\alpha^*V_n \simeq \alpha \times V_\alpha^\perp.$$

Fix a vector $v_\infty \in V_n$ such that its evaluation on the line $V_\alpha^\perp \subset V_n^*$ is non-zero for every facet $\alpha \subset \mathfrak{H}$. For each facet $\alpha \subset \mathfrak{H}$, choose a vector $f_\alpha \in V_\alpha^\perp$ such that $\langle f_\alpha, v_\infty \rangle > 0$.

For each face $\beta \subset \mathfrak{H}$, we can define a cone given by the positive span

$$C_\beta(\Lambda) = \text{span}_{>0}\{f_\alpha \mid \beta \subset \bar{\alpha}\} \subset V_\beta^\perp.$$

We will use this cone to define the skeleton in which we are interested:

4.2.1. Definition. We denote by $L(\Lambda)$ the conic Lagrangian subvariety

$$L(\Lambda) = \bigcup_{\beta \subset \mathfrak{H}} \beta \times C_\beta(\Lambda) \subset T^*V_n,$$

where the union is over all faces of the honeycomb $\mathfrak{H}$.

A conic open neighborhood of $L(\Lambda)$ in T^*V_n is given by

$$\Omega_n = \{(v, \xi) \in T^*V_n \mid \langle \xi, v_\infty \rangle > 0\}.$$

In the first main result of this section, we specialize to $\Lambda = \Lambda_n$ and show that the combinatorial Fukaya categories we have produced in the previous section do in fact arise from symplectic geometry.

4.2.2. Proposition. *There is an equivalence of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -dg categories $\mu Sh_{L(\Lambda_n)}^\diamond(\Omega_n) \simeq \mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^\diamond$.*

Proof. In fact, a stronger statement is true: the equivalence in the theorem, between global sections of the sheaves $\mu Sh_{L(\Lambda_n)}^\diamond$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^\diamond$ of dg categories, actually comes from an equivalence of sheaves. However, we will need to modify our situation slightly, since these are sheaves on different spaces: $\mu Sh_{L(\Lambda_n)}^\diamond$ is a sheaf on Ω_n supported on $L(\Lambda_n)$, while $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^\diamond$ is a sheaf on V_n supported on $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$. To compare them, we define a sheaf $\mu_{n-1}^\diamond$ of dg categories on V_n supported on $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ by declaring that for an open subset $U \subset V_n$, the sections $\mu_{n-1}^\diamond(U)$ are equal to $\mu Sh_{L(\Lambda_n)}^\diamond(\pi^{-1}(U) \cap \Omega_n)$, where π is the projection $T^*V_n \rightarrow V_n$. By construction, the global sections of this sheaf are equal to the global sections $\mu Sh_{L(\Lambda_n)}^\diamond(\Omega_n)$, and we will see that this sheaf is equivalent to the sheaf $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^\diamond$.

We will define a map $\mu_{n-1}^\diamond \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^\diamond$ by defining its values on small balls and checking that these agree with restriction maps. So let p be a point in the $(n-k)$ -dimensional stratum of $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ and let $B \subset V_n$ be a small neighborhood of p . We have seen that $B \cap \mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ is homeomorphic to the product $L_{A_k} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$, where L_{A_k} is the A_k arboreal singularity.

So let $\bar{\Omega}_n = \pi^{-1}(B) \cap \Omega_n$, and let $\bar{L}(\Lambda_n) = L(\Lambda_n) \cap \bar{\Omega}_n$; similarly, denote their intersections with $T^\infty V_n$ by $\bar{\Omega}^\infty$ and $\bar{L}^\infty(\Lambda_n)$. If we knew that $\bar{L}^\infty(\Lambda_n)$ were actually contactomorphic in $\bar{\Omega}^\infty$ to the stabilized arboreal singularity $\mathcal{L}_{A_k} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$, then we would be done, since we constructed our sheaf $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^\diamond$ so that it would assign to B the microlocal sheaf category associated to the A_k arboreal singularity, as calculated in [N4]. However, this isn't literally true: since components in $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ which meet at a singularity meet at different angles, the singularities of $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ are not homeomorphic to their lifts in $L(\Lambda_n)$, and hence they do not lift to A_k singularities. Nevertheless, it is easy enough to show that this situation is similar enough to the case described in [N4] that we can appeal to the results there.

Let $\{\bar{P}_1, \dots, \bar{P}_{k+1}\}$ be the set of the $k+1$ permutohedra in $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$ which share the point p , and set $P_i = \bar{P}_i \cap B$. Reindex this set so that for $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, we have $\bar{P}_{i+1} = \bar{P}_i + \lambda$ for some $\lambda \in \Lambda_n$ with $\langle \lambda, v_\infty \rangle > 0$. Now, for $\alpha \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, we define

$$U_\alpha = \text{Int}(B \setminus \bigcup_{j>\alpha} P_j) \quad Q_\alpha = B \setminus \bigcup_{j\leq\alpha} P_j \quad Q_\alpha^\circ = \text{Int}(Q_\alpha),$$

where we write Int for the interior of a set, and we denote the inclusion maps of these spaces into B by i_α, q_α , and q_α° , respectively. Now we have placed ourselves in exactly the situation of Proposition 4.3 from [N4] and the analysis there applies verbatim, allowing us to conclude that there is an isomorphism $\mu Sh_{L(\Lambda_n)}^\diamond(\bar{\Omega}) \cong A_k\text{-mod}$ which sends the sheaf $i_{\alpha!} \underline{k}_{U_\alpha}$ to the projective object of $A_k\text{-mod}$ beginning at the vertex α .

Thus we have produced an isomorphism $\mu_{n-1}^\diamond(B) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^\diamond(B)$ for a small neighborhood B of any point in $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}$. Moreover, the description in [N4] also shows that the

restriction maps of these sheaves agree, and hence we have defined an isomorphism of sheaves, as required. $\square$

4.3. Relation to pair of pants.

4.3.1. Definition. The $(n-1)$ -dimensional *pair of pants* $\mathcal{P}_{n-1}$ is the complex hypersurface

$$\mathcal{P}_{n-1} = \{z_1 + \cdots + z_n + 1 = 0\} \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^n.$$

It inherits the standard Weinstein structure on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$, whose Morse function is the map

$$|\mathrm{Log}_n|^2 : (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad |\mathrm{Log}_n|^2(z) = \sqrt{\log(z_1)^2 + \cdots + \log(z_n)^2}.$$

In this section, we will be working with reference to [N6], where the equivalent (*i.e.*, homotopic) Weinstein structure with Morse function $z \mapsto |\mathrm{Log}_n|^2(z - p)$ for some point $p \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ was used.

So far we have described a Lagrangian $L_n \subset T^*V_n$ whose wrapped microlocal sheaf category is equivalent to the category Q_{n-1}^{wr} that we have analyzed in this paper. In order to relate our constructions to the Fukaya category of the pair of pants $\mathcal{P}_{n-1}$, we would like to realize L_n as a skeleton of the pair of pants; to do this, we will show that it is a modification of the skeleton described in [N6]. We begin by describing that skeleton.

Since V_n inherits an inner product from $(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})^*$, we have a notion of an orthonormal basis for V_n . Let Λ^{orth} be a lattice in V_n which is given as the integer span of an orthogonal basis. Then the construction of the previous subsection produces a lagrangian $L(\Lambda^{orth}) \subset T^*V_n$. We will denote by $\bar{L}(\Lambda^{orth})$ the corresponding Lagrangian in the quotient $T^*\mathbb{T}^n = T^*(V_n/\Lambda^{orth})$. We will also denote by $\bar{\Omega}_n$ the image of the conic open neighborhood Ω_n (defined in the previous subsection) under this quotient.

4.3.2. Theorem ([N6] Theorem 5.23). *Let $\mathcal{P}_{n-1}^{stab} = \mathcal{P}_{n-1} \times S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ be a stabilization of the $(n-1)$ -dimensional pair of pants $\mathcal{P}_{n-1}$ (see Remark 4.1.8). There exists a Weinstein skeleton $\mathcal{L}_n \subset \mathcal{P}_{n-1}^{stab}$ and a conic (for the Liouville field) neighborhood $U \subset \mathcal{P}_{n-1}^{stab}$ of $\mathcal{L}_n$ such that U is symplectomorphic to $\bar{\Omega}_n$ by a symplectomorphism taking $\mathcal{L}_n$ to $\bar{L}(\Lambda^{orth})$.*

4.3.3. Corollary ([N6] Ansatz 5.24). *The category $\mu Sh_{\bar{L}(\Lambda^{orth})}^w(\bar{\Omega}_n)$ is the wrapped microlocal A -model category associated to the $(n-1)$ -dimensional pair of pants $\mathcal{P}_{n-1}$ with skeleton $\mathcal{L}_n$.*

By passing to a $\mathbf{Z}^n$ cover, we obtain an analogous statement identifying $L(\Lambda^{orth}) \subset \Omega_n$ with a skeleton for the stabilization of a $\mathbf{Z}^n$ cover of the pair of pants, which we will denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{n-1}^{stab}$.

The main result of this section will be a similar identification of $L(\Lambda_n) \subset \Omega_n$ with a skeleton for $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{n-1}^{stab}$.

4.3.4. Theorem. *There exists a Weinstein skeleton $\mathcal{L}'_n \subset \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{n-1}^{stab}$ and a conic neighborhood $U' \subset \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{n-1}^{stab}$ of $\mathcal{L}'_n$ such that U' is symplectomorphic to Ω_n by a symplectomorphism taking $\mathcal{L}'_n$ to $L(\Lambda_n)$.*

Proof. We already know that $L(\Lambda^{orth}) \subset \Omega_n$ is a skeleton for a Weinstein structure on $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{n-1}^{stab}$, so it will be sufficient for us to describe a Weinstein homotopy between the Weinstein

structure on Ω_n with skeleton $L(\Lambda^{orth})$ and a Weinstein structure with skeleton $L(\Lambda_n)$. The homotopy we describe will be induced by a 1-parameter family $\Psi_t : T^*V_n \rightarrow T^*V_n$ of symplectomorphisms, which will in turn be induced by a 1-parameter family $\psi_t : V_n \rightarrow V_n$ of diffeomorphisms.

Note that if a diffeomorphism $\psi : V_n \rightarrow V_n$ takes a rank n lattice $\Lambda \subset V_n$ to a lattice $\Lambda' \subset V_n$, then it also maps the corresponding honeycombs $\mathfrak{H} \mapsto \mathfrak{H}'$ and moreover maps the Lagrangians $L(\Lambda) \mapsto L(\Lambda')$. So it will be sufficient for us to produce a 1-parameter family of diffeomorphisms of V_n which takes the lattice Λ_n to a rectilinear lattice (while keeping the vector v_∞ in general position with respect to the resulting family of Lagrangians).

Note that for an appropriate positive constant C , the set $\{\lambda_i - C\lambda_{n+1}\}_{i=1,\dots,n}$ forms an orthogonal basis of V_n , and this will be the basis we use to define Λ^{orth} . (Our choice of λ_{n+1} here is the same as the symmetry-breaking choice from [N6].) We will fix the vector v_∞ to be $v_\infty = \lambda_{n+1} + \epsilon\lambda_1$ for a small $\epsilon > 0$.

Now define the 1-parameter family of diffeomorphisms $\psi_t : V_n \rightarrow V_n$ by

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \lambda_i \xrightarrow{\psi_t} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i (\lambda_i - t\lambda_{n+1}).$$

This family has the property that ψ_0 is the identity on V_n and ψ_C takes the lattice Λ_n into the lattice Λ^{orth} , so ψ_t induces the desired Weinstein homotopy. $\square$

4.3.5. Corollary. *The category Q_{n-1}^{wr} is the wrapped A -model category associated to the $\mathbf{Z}^n$ cover $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{n-1}$ of the $(n-1)$ -dimensional pair of pants with skeleton $\mathcal{L}'_n$.*

REFERENCES

- [AAEKO] M. Abouzaid, D. Auroux, A. Efimov, L. Katzarkov, D. Orlov, Homological mirror symmetry for punctured spheres, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 26 (2013), 1051–1083.
- [B] R. Bocklandt, Noncommutative mirror symmetry for punctured surfaces. With an appendix by M. Abouzaid. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 368 (2016), 429–469.
- [Bo] A. I. Bondal, Derived categories of toric varieties, *Convex and Algebraic geometry*, Oberwolfach conference reports 3 (2006), 284–286.
- [CE] K. Cieliebak and Ya. Eliashberg, *From Stein to Weinstein and Back: Symplectic Geometry and Affine Complex Manifolds*. AMS (2012).
- [CS] J. H. Conway and N. J. A. Sloane, *Sphere packings, Lattices, and Groups*. Springer (1998).
- [DK] T. Dyckerhoff and M. Kapranov, Triangulated surfaces in triangulated categories, arXiv:1306.2545.
- [D] T. Dyckerhoff, Compact generators in categories of matrix factorizations, *Duke Math J.*, 159(2) (2011), 223–274.
- [ENS] Y. Eliashberg, D. Nadler, and L. Starkston, Arborealization of Weinstein skeleta, in preparation.
- [FLTZ] B. Fang, C.-C. Liu, D. Treumann, and E. Zaslow, A categorification of Morelli’s theorem, *Invent. Math.* 186(1) (2011), 179–214.
- [GG] P. Gaiha and S. K. Gupta, Adjacent vertices on a permutahedron, *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 32, No. 2 (1977), 323–327.
- [G] D. Gaitsgory, Notes on Geometric Langlands: Generalities on dg categories. Available at: math.harvard.edu/~gaitsgde/GL/textDG.pdf
- [GPS1] S. Ganatra, J. Pardon, and V. Shende, Generating the Fukaya category of a Weinstein manifold, in preparation.
- [GPS2] S. Ganatra, J. Pardon, and V. Shende, Localizing the Fukaya category of a Weinstein manifold, in preparation.
- [HKK] F. Haiden, L. Katzarkov, M. Kontsevich, Flat surfaces and stability structures, arXiv:1409.8611.
- [HV] K. Hori and C. Vafa, Mirror symmetry, arXiv:hep-th/0002222.

- [KKOY] A. Kapustin, L. Katzarkov, D. Orlov, M. Yotov, Homological Mirror Symmetry for manifolds of general type, *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* 7(4) (2009), 571–605.
- [KS] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Sheaves on manifolds*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 292, Springer-Verlag (1994).
- [Ko1] M. Kontsevich. Homological algebra of mirror symmetry. *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Zürich, 1994)* 120–139, 1994.
- [Ko2] M. Kontsevich, Lectures at ENS, Paris, Spring 1998, notes taken by J. Bellaïche, J.-F. Dat, I. Marin, G. Racinet and H. Randriambololona, unpublished.
- [Ko3] M. Kontsevich. Symplectic geometry of homological algebra. Available at: http://www.ihes.fr/~maxim/TEXTS/Symplectic_AT2009.pdf
- [L1] J. Lurie, *Higher Topos Theory*, *Annals of Math Studies* 170 (2009).
- [L2] J. Lurie, *Higher Algebra*.
- [M] G. Mikhalkin, Decomposition into pairs of pants for complex algebraic hypersurfaces. *Topology*, 43(5):1035–1065, 2004.
- [N1] D. Nadler, Microlocal branes are constructible sheaves, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* 15 (2009), 563619.
- [N2] D. Nadler, Fukaya categories as categorical Morse homology, *SIGMA* 10 (2014), 018.
- [N3] D. Nadler, Cyclic symmetries of A_n -quiver representations, *Advances in Math.* 269 (2015), 346–363.
- [N4] D. Nadler, Arboreal singularities, arXiv:1309.4122.
- [N5] D. Nadler, Non-characteristic expansions of Legendrian singularities, arXiv:1507.01513.
- [N6] D. Nadler, Wrapped microlocal sheaves on pairs of pants, arXiv:1604.00114.
- [NZ] D. Nadler and E. Zaslow, Constructible sheaves and the Fukaya category, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 22 (2009), 233286.
- [O] D. Orlov, Triangulated categories of singularities and D-branes in Landau-Ginzburg models, *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova* 246 (2004), 240–262; transl. in *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* 246 (2004), 227–249.
- [PS] J. Pascaleff and N. Sibilla, Topological Fukaya category and mirror symmetry for punctured surfaces, arxiv:1604.06448.
- [S] P. Seidel, Homological mirror symmetry for the genus two curve, *J. Algebraic Geom.* 20 (2011), 727–769.
- [Sh] N. Sheridan, On the homological mirror symmetry conjecture for pairs of pants, *J. Differential Geom.* Volume 89, Number 2 (2011), 271–367.
- [STZ] N. Sibilla, D. Treumann, and E. Zaslow, Ribbon graphs and mirror symmetry, *Sel. Math. New Ser.* (2014) 20:979–1002.
- [T] D. Tamarkin, Microlocal Category, arXiv:1511.08961.
- [To] B. Toën. The homotopy theory of dg-categories and derived Morita theory. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 340(1):15–19, 2005.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, BERKELEY, CA 94720-3840

E-mail address: bgame@math.berkeley.edu

E-mail address: nadler@math.berkeley.edu